



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_25890
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiology of Plasmodium falciparum Malaria in the Ikata-Likoko Area of Mount Cameroon: A Cross Sectional Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract <i>Results:</i> reporting of an overall prevalence would seem appropriate before age-specific prevalence. <i>Abbreviations:</i> Not necessary and should be captured in the text unless it is the journal requirement. Introduction Presentation Ok. Where there any previous studies done in the area/locality that might indicate parasite species distribution for comparison in this study? <i>Line 58:</i> Growth should be replaced by “breeding”, seem more appropriate <i>Line 63:</i> reference numbers could be abbreviated to 6-10 rather than 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, etc. Methodology <i>Study area:</i> a. s. i needs to be written in full in all instances. <i>Line 81:</i> word <u>earth</u> should be replaced with appropriate wording to describe road condition	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 117: should be <u>artesanate-amodiaquine combination</u>. 2.7 Laboratory analysis: no mentioned of thick film/smear in the text Results The overall prevalence not clearly provided the MS. A graph show relationship of malaria prevalence with age would seem more meaningful. 3.2 Prevalence and density Line 172: more appropriate to have 1989 parasites/μL and 1944 parasites/μL would be more appropriate than just figures. 3.5 Haematological indices..... Line 222-223: the letter U – what does it mean? Table 2: Word in columns are far apart needs to bring them close together especially top row column 3 and column 2 half way down. Discussion Ok	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	General Comments An important study for the local region. The MS is well written. However, few minor corrections are needed or should be considered. • Where CI are reported it would be more informative that to mention 95% CI – not just CI. • Where <i>plank</i> houses are written should be replaced by <i>wooden</i> houses or <i>timber</i> houses would be more appropriate. • Words like <i>block</i> replace with <i>brick houses</i> • Community awareness campaign would be more appropriate than the word education – Line 32 in the Abstract and elsewhere. • In the discussion limitation of the study should be mentioned. For example: only one species were detected with microscopy. • See specific comments under different sections of MS.	
---	---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francis W. Hombhanje
Department, University & Country	Centre for Health Research & Diagnostics, Divine Word University, Papua New Guinea