



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_24808
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, attitudes and practices towards malaria in Mbonge and Kumba sub-divisions in Cameroon
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a study on KAP malaria in Cameroon, which provides good information as to the perception of the disease and how can more effective control measures be better implemented in the future. 1)I would like to ask the authors: since they state that malaria has a perennial transmission pattern, if they could provide some percentages of malaria in general in the study region, among children and among pregnant women . 2) What were the inclusion criteria? 3)Were there any Nigerians included in the survey? Did the Cameroonians also travel to Nigeria? 4) Who responded to the questionnaire? The head of the household? Anybody? 5) what was the average age of the respondents? 6) How many people lived per household? 7) Was there any frequent travel to other places by the respondents? 8) who applied the questionnaire? Were the surveyors trained previous to applying the questionnaire? In its place, was there a survey assistant to help clarify questions? 9) “All inhabitants of the villages were invited to participate”: how big was each village? No houses were randomly selected? Again, who answered the questionnaire? 10) Did any of the respondents have malaria at any one time? 11) Table 2: “Work” as common disease? 12) any pregnant woman as responder? Had any of the women	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	suffered from malaria previously? During pregnancy? 13) line 205 ... in stored in the home from previous treatments.....Was any of the questions related to when given pills for treatment whether they took all the pills as prescribed? This is a very important finding; no pills should be left over from previous treatments! 14) it seems from Table 8 that all suffering from malaria had to buy the treatment. Does the government provide any treatment? Any policy in this regard? 15) I don't understand the totals (horizontal line) in Table 9. Example: Pete: total=114. Why 60? Same with Tables 2-4. 16) Line 372 ... "Kumba is such a big town"....also see question 9. Please state how big each place is, in numbers. 17) Line 302: place 96% after most respondents	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rina Girard Kaminsky
Department, University & Country	Department of Pediatric, National Autonomous University of Honduras, Honduras