



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_23621
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Factors in Transmission of Tuberculosis infection in Mombasa Kenya: An Epidemiological Descriptive Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The little place I saw typographical errors are underlined and comment seen as view note. I think this will easy the readability and comprehension to readers. This is a good research. But I am only worried on the inability of the author to differentiate between pulmonary tuberculosis and mycobacterterium Tuberculosis	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	All the area that the author used mycobacterium Tuberculosis should be italisied	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	For the pulmonary tuberculosis I did not see more on xray diagnosis as one of the test analised	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anwara Ogbonnaya
Department, University & Country	Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria