



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_23001
Title of the Manuscript:	LABORATORY SERVICES AT PRIMARY HEALTH CENTERS UNDER THE LENS: A NEED FOR OVERHAUL
Type of the Article	Short Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The rationality behind sampling 123 PHC need to be identified. The findings should reflect the objective(s) of the study. There should be a linkage between the two. i.e. the objective of the study should have include adequacy and inadequacy of facilities in the tribal and non-tribal PHCs.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Source of the data in table 1. need to be identified. Data drown from the secondary (for instance the number of facilities per PHC) should have been presented and collaborate it with primary data extracted from the questionnaires administered. Minimum (standard) required facilities per PHC should have been compared with the number available. Line 130 to 139 should have been a conclusion and recommendations of the study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Idris Ahmed Jamo
Department, University & Country	Department Of Public Administration, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria