SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_30257**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EARLY RECOGNITION OF MALARIA OR DENGUE COMPLICATED WITH THROMBOCYTOPENIA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1)** The problems in the field of discussed theme are well described in the **Introduction.** **2)** The study is well structured; the **Methodology** is described in details and clearly, following logical process of the study. **3)** The **Results** are demonstrative, **statistical analysis is storng but is not clearly visible due to lack of p on the Tables.** **4) Discussions only confirms the Results but there is not comparing with other studies.** **4)** The **Conclusions** are correct and **obtained directly from the Results of the study.** **5) Acknowledgements** (if are available), **Competing Interests**, **Authors' Contributions** are missing in the structure of the paper. **6)** The most of **References** (45%) are from the last five years with enough contemporary studies. The sources are cited correctly. **There is need of improvement of writing according to the rules of citing.** **7)** About the **Tables –** **- There is a rebundant repetition of the data in the text, the tables and "images"!!!** **- Instead "Image" should be written "Figure"!!!** **- There are too many Tables. This provokes difficulties in clear reading and understanding the advantages of the study.** **8) The English grammar and style** are good.	1)The aim of study to differentiate between these two common fever with thrombocytopenia for early differentiation. 2)Thanks for positive comments. 3) p values are well described in details . 4)The results were compared with other studies . As per our knowledge exactly similar study is not available in literature. 5),6) Thanks for positive comments. 7)changes done as suggested 8) Thanks for positive comments.
Minor REVISION comments		

Optional/General comments	As the authors conclude, “the changes in these clinical, hematological and biochemical parameters can suggest some differentiation between malaria and dengue which may be very useful in remote areas or when facilities for specific diagnosis are limited or not available.” The aim of the study is good.	