



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29813
Title of the Manuscript:	An emerging threat of Dengue and Chikungunya co-infection: Need of developing a pre-diagnostic screening tool
Type of the Article	Minireview paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript discussed about Dengue-Chikungunya Coinfection guideline. Author wrote this manuscript very excellent especially in clinical guidance that very useful for Clinician. However, there is a few flaws that need to be explained such as: • VAS, please give some detail about this grading. • How do clinician apply this guidance? • Limitation of this guidance such as aging group, clinical criteria and others that play some important role to this guidance.	Dear Reviewer, Thank you for your comments. 1. I was able to add the definition of VAS Score. 2. I was able to formulate a proper table which will help the clinician to easily differentiate between these two infections by giving scores to these variables. 3. It is very difficult to state the limitations of aging groups for the application of these variables. Moreover we have tried to present an idea of a tool that needs to be tested clinically to prove its statistical significance. Talking about the limitations and external validity of this tool is too preliminary and requires a research project on a large scale. Our next step will be to incorporate this in our next research project and thus will be able to answer this question more elaborately.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	No	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	