



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29780
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatitis B virus infection among chronic renal failure predialysis patients in Hodiedah, Yemen: Retrospective study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Poor grammar makes for difficult reading 2. Lack of data about other relevant viruses such as HIV, HCV and HDV. 3. Mention of genotype and sub-genotype in introduction not relevant since these were not studied 4. The small number of cases found (10) makes accurate sub-analysis difficult. 5. There is no mention of the completeness of the data, especially since it is retrospective 6. In what proportion were the LFTs elevated? What about HBeAb and eAg and HBV DNA? 7. In my opinion, this will be of limited interest to the readership	1. The manuscript was revised 2. We concentrated on HBV 3. We deleted the genotype information 4. We change data analysis to The statistical methods used could not be applied for such small subgroups of patients Therefore, we used descriptive analysis namely the percentage because the small number of subject infected with HCV 5. We collect data from patients handbook and we not found the LFTs value and HBeAb and eAg and HBV DNA 6. In conclusion, pre dialysis screening for HBV is an effective strategy taken to ensure containment of hospital acquired infection (HAI) by isolation of patients in certain machine.
Minor REVISION comments	1. replace occupant with working 2. replace 2 billion infected with exposed since the majority have cleared their infection 3. Ethical issue: How was consent obtained? Is there an IRB (Institutional Review Board)?	Occupant replaced by working Infected replaced by exposed to infection Ethical approval based on questionnaire of epidemiological research of tropical medical centre in Hodiedah University
Optional/General comments		