



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29675
Title of the Manuscript:	Ergonomics Workstation Assessment of Musculoskeletal Disorders in University of Port Harcourt
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. There is much improvement though, you still have language expression issues, you need to be precise, you have maintained the present tense speech, research reports are in reported speech. Check the whole paragraph lines 67-72 and revise 2. The purpose of this study is to assess the Musculoskeletal Disorders (MSDs) and the associated factors#####this study therefore is to assess the effect of poor workstation setup on staff and students with respect to MSDs and establish any relationship that may exist.be clear what are you assessing? Is it prevalence, incidence....E. g this study assessed the prevalence of MSDs and factors associated with workstation setup among staff and students at Port Harcourt university. Secondly this is a cross-sectional study so causal relationship???? 3. Was it a known fact before the study that workstations were in a poor condition? to assess the effect of poor workstation setup on staff and students you need to be neutral on this 4. The abstract should just highlight major findings 5. Lines 89-99 be precise e.g there were 300 participants in this study (%) students (%) staff then, this number was arrived at using the equation. Then, add other demographic variables. Stratified sampling was used to recruit	1) Thank you for acknowledging much improvement in the revised manuscript. Reported speech is used more in "Discussion of Results", surely not in introduction. However, lines 67 – 72 have been affected as suggested. 2) The purpose of study has been modified to tally with Reviewer's suggestion; it is a matter of grammar. 3) What is the essence of research if we know the end from the beginning. No prior knowledge on poor state of the University workstation before the study. 4) In line with standard format on technical report writing (or documentation), an 'Abstract' should cover three areas: scope, methodology and a major finding only. The view of the reviewer that 'Abstract' should cover major findings is not acceptable.



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

	5) Correction has been effected on number of participants, it is 320 not 300 and the attrition rate is 15.1% not 7.5%. All new corrections are highlighted in blue for easy identification.
--	--