



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29610
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices towards Human Papilloma Virus among females in Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a very interesting study which is why I opted to review however has many limitations. The paper needs major revision scientifically – objectives and methods need to be clear – please state clearly what the authors intended to look for while surveying knowledge/ attitude/ practices. The objectives are very vague; although survey questions have been outlined, there is no mention of what independent and predictor variables the authors intend to study. Too many assumptions have to be made by the author. Scientific methods are also not clear – whereas correlation is sought for in one part of the methods, Chi2 has been used which is the right test but is a measure of association not correlation. Also, it is not clear what outcome was the logistic regression conducted for. The tables also need to be revised and are crude for the journal readers.	Aim of study: In abstract Overall Aim looks more clear highlighted yellow color line 10-11 Objectives: Objectives have been made more concise and clear highlighted line 70-72 Scientific Methods: Methods have been modified extensively to make reader more understandable including sub headings highlighted line 75, 78, 81-87. Variables and Measurements: Variables (dependent and independent) have been mentioned highlighted line 100-103 Chi Square: Purpose of Chi square independence test have been mentioned as association line 132 Statistical Analysis: Statistical analysis portion have been rephrased completely including descriptive analysis of variables, Chi square independence test, Odds Ratio and logistic regression highlighted yellow line 129-137 Logical Regression: Outcome of logical regression has been mentioned in results section highlighted lines 25-27, 221-226.
Minor REVISION comments	Major grammatical revision needed, it is difficult to comprehend the message the authors are trying to convey.	Manuscript have been checked by authors and few modifications have been highlighted



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Although the paper needs major revision, I encourage the authors to mend the objectives, methods, statistical analysis and data presentation because the moot premise of this paper is very interesting and definitely merits publication.	Aim of the study, Objectives, Methods, Variables, Statistical analysis have been modified, clear and revised extensively to make it more understandable and logical .
---	--	---