



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29469
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of sexual risk practices and HIV transmission among women of childbearing age in sub-urban communities of Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the abstract, under "Place and duration of study", why not change the sentence so it does not begin with the word "It". Under Methodology, the last section would better read "..... .05 was considered statistically significant.	The sentence has been revised as suggested. The sentence has been modified to read: $P \leq .05$ was assumed to be statistically significant.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	A few minor revisions needed. For example, unless it is new and acceptable to begin a sentence with "Majority", the authors will do well to say The majority.	The error has been corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		