



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29394
Title of the Manuscript:	Seizures scenario in a tertiary care teaching hospital in Odisha, India-- A Medical record based study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Inclusive criteria within methods must be further explained.	A paragraph stating inclusion and exclusion criteria is now added into Materials and Methods section.
Minor REVISION comments	The authors may consider to change the title words "medical report" to "retrospective". I wonder why the authors represent the cases based on the location (district). Each district may have its hospital so that the table represented in this manuscript is just to show i.e Ganjam, Koraput, and Sundargarh are far from University Hospital.	Previous title: Seizures scenario in a tertiary care teaching hospital in Odisha, India-- A Medical record based study Revised title: Seizures scenario in a tertiary care teaching hospital in Odisha, India-- A retrospective study In Table 4 we have presented the district wise distribution of admitted patients with seizures. Reviewer's observation on the single cases from each of the three districts is correct. Those are far away districts. However when we analyzed the records from all the cases admitted into our hospital, we could not ignore three cases. We have now added a statement about the distant locations of these three districts.
Optional/General comments	Recent date references are most preferred. Many citations are out of date.	There are 16 of 38 total numbers of references from last 5 years, which comprises >40 %. Also >30% references are between years 2013 and 2016. I think this is an acceptable relative number of recent references that most of the journals look for



SDI Review Form 1.6

		(i.e., more than 30% references from last three years). Moreover, only the following reference from year 1994 could be deleted: Hauser W a. The prevalence and incidence of convulsive disorders in children. <i>Epilepsia</i> 1994; 35 Suppl 2:S1–6. doi:10.1111/j.1528-1157.1994.tb05932.x.
--	--	---