



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29115
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF GARDNERELLA VAGINALIS AND TRICHOMONAS VAGINALIS AMONG FEMALE STUDENTS IN BINGHAM UNIVERSITY
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. Average prevalence rate of 39.0% comprising of 34.5% for <i>G. vaginalis</i> infection and 4.5% for.....(the average of 34.5% and 4.5% is 19.5) line 15,16 2. Line 17-20; bivariate analysis, correlation results appear in abstract with none in the results section. No table(s) to show these crucial results is shown. This is a gross omission. Full, comprehensive and clear presentation of all summary findings appearing on abstract is required. 3. Line 21 high prevalence rate...contradicts line 23: quite low... 4. Line 107:..at 75% confidence index.....what is this? 5. Line 111: .. is dependent on..... this statement is wrong! Author has not adequately addressed concerns raised earlier, as can be seen with highlights above.	1. The word average has been deleted as it misinterprets the intended information. (it represents the total prevalence not the average prevalence. 2. Bivariate analysis, correlation results has been added to the results and discussion. The table has also been added. Please pardon this gross omission. 3. Line 21 states that the highest prevalence rate (24.5%) and (4.0%) of <i>G. vaginalis</i> and <i>T. vaginalis</i> infection in relation to was recorded in the age group of 15-20yrs while the conclusion (Line23) states the general prevalence of the entire study which shows that the total prevalence of <i>T. vaginalis</i> (4.5%) is quite low and the prevalence of 34.5% for <i>G. vaginalis</i> is quite alarming. 4. 75% confidence index is the confidence interval used in the study. Higher confidence interval such as 90%,95% or 99% was not used due to the number of samples analysed 5. Line 111 has been corrected