



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29115
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF GARDNERELLA VAGINALIS AND TRICHOMONAS VAGINALIS AMONG FEMALE STUDENTS IN BINGHAM UNIVERSITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Line 13...swaps? - Line 19.....significant with $p>0.05$? - Line 21&22 contradictory statements...low prev. then becomes high! - Line 15, 125(table 1)....what you refer as overall prevalence(for both organisms)should be the average of the two prev. after all didn't you take 200 samples for each, making 400(total) - Line 142,143.....name those authors..apply same principle throughout discussion.....e.g these findings concur with those of Rajab,Pujab in their study in....(23,25) - Line 16,17,18,19 :You state "Age, symptoms and certain risk factors like; douching, smoking, sexual activity and STI acquisition were significantly associated with <i>G. vaginalis</i> infection ($p<0.05$) while only age was significantly associated with <i>T. vaginalis</i> ($p>0.05$), yet YOU HAVE NIL BIVARIATE analysis in your results.	Line 13 has been corrected. Line 19 has been corrected Lines 21 & 22 have been corrected Lines 15 & 125 have been adjusted to average prevalence. Citations in lines 143,145..... were written in accordance to the journal's instructions for authors Bivariate analysis has been added to the results
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Author seems to have submitted incomplete work. This is a basic, straight forward study, which if analysis results are well outlined without omissions..	Other analysis has been added to the work