



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_28944
Title of the Manuscript:	Hematological Changes among Sudanese Patients with Acute Viral Hepatitis B
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Long and windy sentences should be broken into two or three shorter sentences. 2. Lots of typographical errors as well as poorly written manuscript starting from the abstract to the references should be corrected. Major corrections have to do with the incorrect use of punctuation marks which occurred throughout the manuscript. Once a sentence is complete, the authors should of a necessity start a fresh sentence. 3. Statistical presentation of results should be technically sound. Author should revise statements to make them logical (e.g., 'There was no statistically significant difference between the haemoglobin and haematocrit findings among male and female infected with viral hepatitis B' ($p > 0.35$)). Please clarify the ethical issue: The authors did not write any statement indicating whether they obtained the informed consent of the patients, ethical approval or any ethical guidelines/consideration followed in preparing this manuscript.	1-Done 2-Done 3-Done Ethical approval was obtained from Alneelain University ethical committee. Each patient signed an informed written ethical consent
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract section: Line 2: Change 'of' to 'for'. Last line in paragraph one: change 'witch' to 'which'. Material and methods section of abstract: Revise the sentence. It is too long and windy. Conclusion part: '.....in the Sudanese or in Sudan', select the appropriate phrase that express the intention	All notes you mentioned has been reviewed.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	of the authors. Also, revise the sentence in line 3 under conclusion. In the penultimate line under conclusion, revise the phrase, routine diagnosis investigations as routine diagnostic investigations. Remove com put after the word infection.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript describes one major area of infectious diseases of public interest but was not well written enough. The results were logical but poorly presented.	Thank you, I tried to write it well, thank you again.