



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_28944
Title of the Manuscript:	Hematological Changes among Sudanese Patients with Acute Viral Hepatitis B
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Manuscript needs grammatical, punctual, and spelling correction. - In Introduction, please provide more info on the current knowledge on haematological alterations in acute hepatitis B. - Please make your discussion section more organized. For example, discussion can be organized in more than two paragraphs. Anaemia is discussed at the beginning and then again at the end of this section... - Please mention whether hematological evaluation is or is not part of routine evaluation of patients with acute hepatitis in Sudan. If it is not, please mention if you have obtained consent from the patients. - Please mention the limitations of your study.	-OK -Done -Done -Not all haematological evaluation is a part of routine evaluation of patients with acute hepatitis in Sudan. Ethical approval was obtained from Alneelain University ethical committee. Each patient signed an informed written ethical consent(I forget to mention this) -
Minor REVISION comments	- Data related to figures 1, 3, and 4 are mentioned in the body of the manuscript. Therefore, these figures do not provide additional or complementary information. Please remove these figures. - Figure 2 is very difficult to understand and does not convey a simple message. It can	-figures 1,2,3 and 4 were removed and main figure has been inserted instead of them. -Done -Done



SDI Review Form 1.6

	be removed. - Instead of figures 1-4, please provide a figure that represents main findings of your study. - Please mention why this particular time frame was chosen for sample gathering. - Please mention more details on how patients were later evaluated for developing chronic hepatitis.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		The time of the study began after final course of master studies