



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_28809
Title of the Manuscript:	Superficial Fungal Skin Infections in Patients Attending Zliten Teaching Hospital(North west of Libya)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. For a prevalence study it should be a community study as most of the patients do not seek treatments for SFI, e.g. tinea capitis, pit versicolor , even tinea pedis unless they are symptomatic 2. Assessing patients who come to the clinic is not a good way of sampling, as that does not represent true prevalence of the disease 3. Since you have done a culture in all the samples, what were the identified fungal species by culture You may have to mention that in each disease e.g. in tinea corporis, in tinea capitis , tinea pedis etc	As response to 1. And 2. Our study tried to know some information on distribution pattern of SFI in relation to clinical type, sex and age in specific group of patient, therefore our title is SFI in patients attending Zliten Teaching Hospital and not in Zliten. It's clear that prevalence needs random samples from community. The response to comment 3., The most common dermatophyte in cases of Tinea Capitis was M. canis, but these results of culture were involved in other study.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		