



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_28551
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELLING THE INFLUENCE OF COVARIATES ON ADMISSION CASES OF TYPHOID PATIENTS AT THE TAMALE TEACHING HOSPITAL: AN APPLICATION OF GENERALISED LINEAR MODELLING CONCEPT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This study involved aspects of Infectious Diseases, Public Health and Biostatistics. The manuscript is well written, interesting and important for Public Health researchers and Hospital managers, although there are some suggestions to be done. 1. Some of the keywords used do not follow any index descriptors in public health, as BIREME, MeSH, NLM or UMLS (eg. Poisson regression, negative binomial $\square$), and they should be changed. 2. The topic "Materials and Methods" should get more items (eg. "Ethical consideration"). 3. The title of the manuscript is too long, it may be reduced 4. I suggest inform local, state, and country of study	Some of the key words were changed to have medical terms. Addition of indication of concern was indicated in the material and methods. The title of the manuscript was reduced considerably. The study area was duelly stated in the material and methods.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The introduction topic is also too long. Maybe it could be reduced.	The introduction part was reduced s with some few lines.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This article is well written, and after the changes suggested.	Well noted with thanks