



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_28331
Title of the Manuscript:	Association between Malaria Prevalence and Seropositivity of Immunoglobulin G Subtypes Directed to Plasmodium falciparum Merozoite Surface Protein 1-19
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None , I have gone through the manuscript and find it to be scientifically sound. The data being reported has very significant implications for the future. The details of my review are given in the Guidelines form attached.	Appreciated
Minor REVISION comments	Line 91- H ₂ SO ₄ should be H ₂ SO ₄ Line 140- should read ' Seropositivity has a significant association....' and not ' Seropositivity has an significant association....'	COMMENT1: Line 91- H₂SO₄ should be H₂SO₄ RESPONSE: REVISED COMMENT2: Line 140- should read ' Seropositivity has a significant association....' and not ' Seropositivity has an significant association....' RESPONSE: The Referred Statement Reads 'We show in the same table that IgG3 Seropositivity has 'an insignificant association' with higher malaria positivity, whereas seropositivity to IgG0, IgG2 and IgG4 subtypes were negatively associated with positivity to malaria although the association was not statistically significant'. After rechecking the manuscript, we found this statement to be correct since the association between IgG3 Seropositivity and malaria positivity was not significant i.e. insignificant. We have retained the statement to preserve the precise interpretation of what we are reporting.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript has comprehensive and significant scientific data. It should be published with corrections above.	We are so pleased for the review comments we have received from reviewers. 1. We have addressed all comments as required by the reviewers. We have revised the documents when we agreed to the comments given; but we explained when required to do so. 2. In addition to the comments, we have attended to minor corrections that might have been quickly noted by reviewers, e.g. grammatical typographical errors. We also made small corrections in tables 4& 5 for precision. NOTE: In the process of revising the manuscript, line numbers might have changed We feel we have satisfied the reviewers requirements as far as this manuscript is concerned.