



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_28244
Title of the Manuscript:	Dengue Fever in Myasthenic Crisis
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Repeated words like 'she had had preceding history' 2. Some diseases are capitalized and some are ignored like 'oral prednisolone and oral Pyridostigmine' 3. Unnecessary spaces in table 4. At some places references are added with space and somewhere without space 5. Spelling mistakes like 'crisis' as 'crises' 6. Grammar mistakes 7. Need more information on methodology. 8. What exactly this study showing/proposing?	1. The statement had been changed to "she had a preceding history" 2. Capitalized words of diseases and medications ie myasthenia gravis pyridostigmine, type 2 respiratory failure had been rectified. 3. The spaces in table had been reorganized. 4. The spaces had been standardized. 5. Spelling mistakes ie myasthenia/myasthenic crises had been changed to myasthenic crisis 6. Grammar mistakes had been identified and rectified. 7. Methodology information added include the type of dengue diagnostic kit, the full blood counts, and arterial blood gases results. 8. This study is proposing that a comparison with alternative therapy eg plasmapheresis in myasthenic crisis like this in the future for earlier



SDI Review Form 1.6

	9. Paper lacks literature review/background/research gap/previous work. 10. Study don't have a clear/precise objective. State objective precisely. 11. Methodology needs more elaboration. 12. Explain future work more. Template Issues: 1.Line just above abstract missing. 2.Abstract is not according to template. Decision: If authors want to publish their work, they seriously need to improve their work and should resubmit their paper after above mentioned corrections done.	recovery (as stated in the aims of study) 9. Background introduction has been changed to dengue prevalence in Malaysia to give it a more local setting being an endemic disease. Since there has been no reported case of dengue in myasthenic crisis before, not much literature has been found on previous work. An additional reference regarding plasma exchange in comparison with IVIg has been added for literature review (Line 175) as an 8th reference. 10. Study's objective is now stated in the "aims" section of the abstract and also in the introduction. 11. Methodology has been elaborated in the "Abstract" and also in the "Introduction" sections. 12. Future work is further elaborated at the end of "Discussion" and "Conclusion". **Template issues has been rectified with regards to structures and content of the abstract. Attached with this email are the edited version
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Plagiarism issue: 8% Please see PDF file	of my work,
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		