



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_27862
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and determinants of antimalarial self-medication in southern Benin
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 21: Artemisinin Combination Therapies (ACTs) and not combination therapy base in Artemisinin.	Authors would sincerely like to thank Reviewer for his relevant and useful comments. Please find below the changes to the manuscript according the raised concerns. Combination therapy base in Artemisinin is replaced by Artemisinin Combination Therapies (ACTs)
	Line 75: What is CTA?	CTA is replaced by (ACTs)
	Which Organisation gave the ethical Approval for the study?	After study objectives have been explained to participants, we get just voluntary free and informed oral consent that was obtained from participants before starting the interview
	Line: 168 & 195: Change all the CTA to ACT	All CTA are changed to ACT (Pages 163 and 195)
	Line 183: It is Table and not Tableau	Tableau is changed to table at line 183
	Table IV: This is an English paper, please change all the non and oui to No and Yes.	"Non" and "Oui" are changed to No and Yes in Table IV.
	Ethical Issues: Name of Organisation that gave the approval for the study	After study objectives have been explained to participants, we get just voluntary free and informed oral consent was obtained from participants before starting the interview
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The study is a good one.	