



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_27544
Title of the Manuscript:	Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders: A Case of Office-Based Civil Servants in Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The researcher should give more detail how to categorize the level of awareness as mentioned.	In this study, the level of awareness is being rated by Kendall's Coefficient of concordance for high or low level of agreement by respondents. Low level of agreement indicates a gap in knowledge level, thus training may be required for employees.
Minor REVISION comments	It's no need to give more detail about the formula of statistical analysis.	The need for details on formula (Kendall's w-statistic) may be necessary for readership who may wish to adopt similar approach to carry out their study.
Optional/General comments	The sentence should not copy from the questionnaire.	The reviewer is not clear on the issue. We expect him to pin point on the manuscript which section and or paragraph where sentence is being copied from questionnaire. Note, Questionnaire is an integral part of the manuscript and can not be separated from analysis of results and discussion.