



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_27253
Title of the Manuscript:	Nosocomial infection and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of bacteria isolated from admitted patients with sign of infection at Jimma University Specialized Hospital, Jimma, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comment	Line no 179: In this study a total of 111 bacterial etiologic agents were isolated. Majority 67 (60.7%) of the isolates were Gram negative bacteria and the rest 44(39.3%) were Gram positive. The most 180 predominant isolates were <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> 22(19.6%), coagulase negative staphylococcus 21(18.7%) The above STATEMENT IS CONTRADICTORY with the number of isolates. 44 is mentioned as gram positive but only 43 (22 staph & 21 CoNS) is mentioned. Table 2 also indicates only 110 isolates Because the number of isolates are differing. The author has to recheck the percentages that are mentioned. A complete revision is required.	Yes it is 111 isolates. However due to one strain of <i>S. pyogen</i> observation we couldn't present it on Table 2. We accept the comment and included <i>S. pyogen</i> isolated in the result section as indicated in red highlight (line 181). Hence we do have 44 gram positive isolates including the <i>S. pyogen</i> isolate.
Minor REVISION comments	Line no 9 & 10: 'Treatment of such infections is becoming difficult due to antibiotics resistance of the bacteria that circulate in the Hospital environment.' May be written as 'Treatment of such infections is becoming difficult due to resistance of antibiotics to the bacteria that circulate in the Hospital environment.' Line no 16: 'Swab' to be changed as 'Wound/ Pus swab'. Line no 42 & 43: 'In Ethiopia, a report from Tikur Anbessa and Mekelle hospitals indicated how the problem is serious' should be written as 'In Ethiopia, reports from Tikur Anbessa and Mekelle hospitals indicated the seriousness of the problem'	Comment accepted and accommodated Comment accepted and accommodated Comment accepted and accommodated



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line no 81 & 82: 'the presence of urgency, frequency, dysuria of urination and pussy or turbid urine' to be written as 'the presence of dysuria, urgency and frequency of urination and cloudy urine'	Comment accepted and accommodated
	Line no 187 & 188: 'Where SSI surgical site infection; UTI Urinary tract infection; BSI blood stream infection' to be corrected as 'Here, SSI - Surgical Site Infection; UTI - Urinary Tract Infection; BSI - Blood Stream Infection'	Comment accepted and accommodated
	Line no 232: In this study surgical site infection (65.7% including both (SSI+BSI) was the most commonly seen infection. To be changed as- In this study surgical site infection, with or without association with BSI (65.7%) was the most commonly seen infection.	Comment accepted and accommodated
	Line no 241: Tunisia (33%) and Tunisia (28%) and Swiss (30%). Year in which the study was done need to be mentioned and Tunisia need not be mentioned twice though the study was done in 2005 and 2006. 'Tunisia (33%) in 2006 and Swiss (30%) in 2005'.	Comment accepted and accommodated



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line no 3: signs Line no 8: acquiredd Line no 31: CoS Line no 32: <i>Pseudomonas species</i> Line no 45: bacteriall Line no 50: bacteriall Line no 51: replace 'being' with 'have been' Line no 57: February, Line no 71: at least one of the signs [fever Line no 84: (CFU) and catheterized urine with $\geq 10^2$ colony forming unitss Line no 181: '<i>Escherichia. Coli</i>' to be written as '<i>Escherichia coli</i>' Line no 250: <i>E. coli</i>, (24%) to be written as <i>E. coli</i> (24%) Line no 275: emphasis and attention to be written as 'emphasis, attention' Line no 282: 'We couldn't be able to' should be replaced with 'We were not able to' Line no 422: SSI=Surgical Line no 422, 423 & 426: CONs to be corrected as CoNS	All these comments are accepted and corrected.
--	--	---