



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_26777
Title of the Manuscript:	Recent Toxoplasmosis among pregnant women receiving antenatal care in the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.The introduction is lengthy, if you can reduce some of the information that are not too relevant for this study. 2.There should be a full stop after references [1] and [10,11] in the text. 3.Under methodology, third line i.e. line 103 the word state should start with capital S. 4.On line 114 the word patient should be written as patient's. 5.You did a lot of work ,but you failed to generate some relevant tables e.g you did not relate your socio-demographic data with the laboratory results. Your table 1 is not carrying any scientific meaning. 6.State the source of the CDC recommendation in line 212-214. 7.Reference section. You need to read the instruction for authors and correct all your references. NB: The numbering of the reference are not to written as a superscript,authors names and their initials,electronic citations,journals,books ,the use of et al after the sixth author etc.	Thank you for your apt review comments. Corrections have been effected as recommended, however; our concern regarding reducing the introduction is that the information given is considered to be the minimal important background information necessary for the study and if reduced may not convey the true picture/essence of the study. Kindly recommend which statements you wish to be removed from the introductory section if still necessary. Thank you
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		