



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_26619
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF CHILDHOOD MALARIA ON THE BIOCHEMICAL AND HAEMATOLOGICAL PROFILES OF INFECTED CHILDREN IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Lies 21-23: Compared with what? The Abstract says nothing about the liver enzymes whose activities were said to have been measured. Line 103-105: What is the designation for parasite per microliter in the range 10000 – 99999? Line 144: Table 2 should begin with capital T. Lines 167 and 184; The word counterparts is a single word and not two separate words. Line 195: What is 0.4.9? I guessed it is supposed to be 0 – 4.9. Line 255: Is too speculative. Line 263: The word raise should be rise. Tables 2 and 3: The units of SGOT and SGPT are supposed to reflect their activities just like ALP which is iu/L. The unit of WBC should be per microliter not just mcl. The unit of parasite density is not stated. I guessed it should be per unit area surveyed. Tables 2, 4 and 6: State what the superscripts a, b, and c stand for as a legend or key to each of the Tables. In the write-up on the Results, it is better to state the actual P values obtained and not just $P < 0.05$	Line 21 – 23: malaria infected and uninfected. Liver enzymes activities have been included in the abstract. Line 103 – 105: Coreection done in the text. Line 144: Done. Line 167 and 184: Done. Line 195: Done. Line 255: Statement has been rewritten. Line 263: Done. Tables 2, 3, WBC and parasite density unithas been written. Tables 2, 4, and 6: It was stated that mean values in a row with different alphabets as superscript are significantly different.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is recommended for publication subject to minor corrections pointed out.	