



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_25920
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Malaria and Typhoid Co-infection amongst Residents of Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The major point to clarify is that widal is not a diagnostic test for typhoid. False positive widal is found in most of the infections and malaria happens to be one of them. How do the authors know that a positive widal test in a patient positive for malaria was not falsely positive. Were there any cultures/PCR done.	We also did blood culture for ONLY the samples that were positive for widal. However, we left it out because the correlation was not so strong as it were for the widal test. Thus, we have included this in the paper now. Sorry about this.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript can be reconsidered for publication after the above issue is sorted out.	