



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_25886
Title of the Manuscript:	MAJOR DISEASES AMONG UNDERSERVED POPULATION OF OGUN STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Proof reading and type error need to be corrected, for example: page 2, line 31, The CBHIS) should be without) Is any statistical method used to assess the difference or risk factor between major diseases and social-demographical factors? Please clarify Ethical approval: Was informed consent addressed in this study?	a) Typographical errors in the manuscript have been corrected. i) The) after the CBHIS (page 2, line 31) has been deleted. ii) Page 5, line 95 the word 'members' has been corrected. iii) Dollar equivalents of respondents' incomes were added (page 8, lines 160 and 161). iv) References have been re-numbered. b) No statistical method was used to assess the difference or risk factor between major diseases reported and the social-demographical factors. This was due to the fact that the questions asked in the study focused on major health challenges, at both the household and, the community levels, and not at individual and age-specific levels as stated under



SDI Review Form 1.6

		limitation in the manuscript (page 8, lines 148-149). c) Informed consent was implied in the written consent as earlier stated. However, this has now been included (page 18, line 314) since the written consent document entails purpose and full description of the study.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		