



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_25716
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF FINE NEEDLE ASPIRATION CYTOLOGY TECHNIQUE FOR EARLY DIAGNOSIS OF TUBERCULAR LYMPHADENITIS IN PATIENTS PRESENTING AT A PRIMARY CARE SETTING IN INDIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is a wonderful write up. I am only asking the author while he never show pictures of the FNAC staining he talked about in the manuscript	I thank the reviewer for the very encouraging remark. Pictures of stained slides are not included because the aim of this study did not include description of cytomorphological features of TB LAN. As very rightly pointed out by another reviewer, it has been done in numerous studies carried out earlier. Aim of our study was to 1. Analyze whether employing FNAC as a diagnostic tool during initial presentation of a patient helps in detecting the disease at an earlier stage of its evolution 2. Study the demographic profile of these patients and the variations that exist in different parts of our country. The manuscript already has 7 figures.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nice write up.	Thank You