



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_25475
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of hepatic hydrothorax in patients with decompensated cirrhosis in Zagazig university hospitals (single center study).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Author should re-write the discussion and avoid unnecessary repetition and repeat of results in discussion. There is need to be focused. Hint: author should refer to tables/ figures of each result rather than duplicating the results in it entirety in the discussion. Author should expunge the first and second paragraphs of the discussion (207-216, page 10 and 243- 244, page 11). Include the gap in knowledge that the study hopes to address? (Note: this knowledge gap may be peculiar to the region of operation (Zagazig University Hospitals) and if there is none, author should state that the study is simply adding to or updating the existing information on hepatic hydrothorax (64-67, page 3). Who performed all the Laboratory analysis? (121, page 4). Author should be original in her recommendation based on the research output (301 – 302, page 12). Author should consider expunging all sentences, words or characters/ letters marked with Bold Red	Your remarks have been corrected in manuscript Laboratory analysis performed in the hospital laboratory free charge as patients admitted to the hospital and eligible Its adding and updating the existing information on hepatic hydrothorax



SDI Review Form 1.6

	(9, 23, 259, 236, 239,244, 245, 260) Author should respond to questions marked with Bold Blue (243, 301, 302)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Author should consider all sentences, words or characters/ letters marked with bold black in text. 2,3,8,12,13,15,23,24,69,75,81,124,130,131,132,133-136,138,139,230,239,242,243,281. Consider the modification to the title of the article: Hepatic Hydrothorax In Patients With Decompensated Cirrhosis In Zagazig University Hospitals: A Cross-sectional And Single Center Study.	Your remarks have been corrected in manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Great study and of original reports. Introductory phase is well written and elaborate enough for the study. Excellent methodologies and research plans, including the organisation. Results presentation is admirable. Conclusion is concise and precise. References are adequate and well cited in text. Good luck!	