



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_25293
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Ischemia modified albumen in chronic liver diseases.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Actually I'm confused about the number of subjects included in the study In the abstract <u>In the Study design:</u> 1- study including 125 patients with chronic liver disease (69 males and 31 females) These are 100 not 125 2- 30 healthy controls. <u>In the Methodology:</u> 1. The patients with chronic liver disease (80 males, 45 females) These are 125 2. 35 healthy subjects While in the Study design they are said	Revised and corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	to be 30 <u>In the sampling</u> serum were Correction (serum was /OR sera were) <u>In Methods of assay:</u> - CBC was analyzed investigations were analyzed IMA level was analyzed Correction: (done /OR performed) - 1 mL of 0.9% NaCl was added quench the reaction Correction: was added to quench the reaction - The method of calculation of IMA-to-serum albumin ratio (IMAR) is not explained <u>Statistical analysis:</u> IMAR.. Correction: (IMAR.)	[corrected] [corrected] [corrected] [added in methodology] [corrected]
--	--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<u>Results:</u> In the title of table 1 and 2, the word some is not required Table (1) The mean and SD of Child C group is not written ... 56 ±4.7 Figure (1) The pie chart is presented by percentage, while comment in the text is presented by number of patients. It is recommended to add the percentage to the text to match with the figure Table (2) The last column to the right (P value) is to be deleted as it is present as a foot comment below the table <u>Discussion:</u> Line 118 of IMAR which considered Correction: of IMAR which is considered	[Removed] [added] [added to the text] [p which is below indicates control with patients while the others each patients group to other] [corrected]
--	---	--

	Line 122 trhan the Correction: than the <u>References:</u> 1995- 2000 2 2001- 2005 4 2006- 2010 13 2011 & 2012 3 2013- 2016 0 Reference 17 formats is not following the formats of other references More recent references to be used <u>Comment</u> The research work is beneficial and helpful in screening a large number of populations	[Corrected] [corrected] [added in discussion and highlighted] [We appreciate your valuable comments.]
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		