



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_25293
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Ischemia modified albumen in chronic liver diseases.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract: Study design: Cross sectional study shows 125 patients of CLD & controls which total 130 and not 125 as specified. Mean age 54.63years or is it 54 and 63 years respectively for the CLD M & F Methodology: • 20 (combined) rather you use co-infection HCV/HBV • The abbreviation should be written initially in full • Words should be spaced form each other Conclusion: Decompensation Introduction: Line 12, 15, 22 – spaced words Line 36 Materials and Methods Line 46: Thirty- five should be capitalised Line 49 : Seven millilitres of venous blood Line 49 – 52 Seven millilitres of venous blood samples were withdrawn and divided as follow; 1milliliter into EDTA tube for CBC, 1.8 milliliters into prothrombin tube for INR and 4.9 milliliters into plain tube which was left to clot and serum obtained were separated	Thank you for your valuable comments Revised and corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected Written



SDI Review Form 1.6

	into two aliquots, the first, used for routine analysis and the second was stored at -20°C IMA assay. CBC, INR AND IMA SHOULD BE WRITTEN IN FULL. Line 56: chemistry autoanalyser Line 61: 0.9% NaCl was added to stop the reaction Line 62: 50µl of distilled water was used instead..... Line 63: Absorbancies Results: Line 79: Control 35(20,15) and not 35(30,15) Table 1 Line 122: than not trhan Line 129: et al should be spaced Line 149: Table 1, age mean SD of Child C is not stated Figure 1: • Complete the bullet to indicate the other pies (8.00%, 4.00% and 4.00%) • Check the first bullet. it is 56.00% chronic HCV and not chronic HCV HBV. Reference: Line 188: Rewrite the reference properly. It should not be in capital letter Line 205 & 222: The authors name are not in uniform with the others-Recast Ethical issue: It was not stated whether it is approved by Ethical committee of that locality	written corrected corrected corrected added added chronic HCV corrected Approved and added
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	What do the author mean by “routine laboratory investigation”. Please state the test used in this study with the methods and kits/reagents. Was the research approved by ethical committee before the work commence?	Added in the methodology Yes, it was
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The initials IMA, IMAR, AST, INR and so forth should be introduced earlier when the full names were first mentioned. There are inconsistencies in spacing the word and also over spacing them Line 12, 15, 22, 24, 27, 29, 33 and lot more Et al should be spaced and italised	Revised and corrected corrected