



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_25132
Title of the Manuscript:	INCIDENCE OF LEPTOSPIROSIS INFECTIONS AMONG ACUTE FEBRILE PATIENTS IN LAKHIMPUR AND DHEMAJI DISTRICTS, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Information in the article particularly from epidemiology points of views is inadequate. Research questions are also not clear. Incidence should be used instead of prevalence. This statement "Peoples who walk with barefoot are also in high risk" is questionable as it has no reference for any scientific evidence. Methodology explained also need major revision. There was no explanation on study design, sample population, sample size calculation, criteria for febrile illness, inclusion and exclusion for the subjects. Discussion too short, no comparison with other data.	Sir, Incidence word may also use instead of Prevalence. "Prevalence" word was used because this was the first study in the area of this disease. In the title the word changed to "INCIDENCE" as per your instruction. In some studies positive subjects were compared with barefoot walking. Reference added. Subjects were confirmed as acute febrile by physician. Both Outdoor and Indoor hospital patients with acute febrile were included in our study. The subjects found positive for JE, dengue, malaria or typhoid by laboratory were excluded from our study. Sir, as per your instruction discussion tried to compare with other data.
Minor REVISION comments	Too many typo errors, capital letters have been used inappropriately.	Corrected.
Optional/General comments		