



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_24973
Title of the Manuscript:	HUMORAL IMMUNE RESPONSE IN PARVOVIRAL VACCINATED DOGS EXPERIMENTALLY INFECTED WITH TRYPANOSOMA CONGOLENSIS AND TRYPANOSOMA BRUCEI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Ethical issue: I think that it should be stated what was the final destination of the dogs, where they give in adoption, euthanized, etc. If they were euthanized, the method used should be stated.	After the experiment, some of the dogs were given to the department of Veterinary medicine, University of Nigeria, Nsukka while the rest were sold out in dog market.
Minor REVISION comments	In table 2, in the first column, it says "DAYS POST INFECTION" Since groups A and B were not infected, the column should be simply DAY, since in methods it is clearly stated what happens in the different days of the experimental procedures. In Conclusions and recommendations, it says "Therefore, we recommend that dogs should be tested using the immunoblot ELISA assay for the rapid detection of CPV IgG post vaccination probably they were incubating some immunosuppressive diseases such as trypanosomosis prior to vaccination." It should be rephrased because it is not very clear. Maybe: says "Therefore, we recommend that dogs should be tested using the immunoblot ELISA assay for the rapid detection of CPV IgG post vaccination , since probably they are incubating some immunosuppressive diseases such as trypanosomosis prior to vaccination. In MATERIALS AND METHODS, Experimental design, there is practically no information of the dogs used. The age, physical condition, sanitary status, etc should be stated since these are factors that can affect the immune response. There is information in the SUMMARY, but, it should be in, or at least also in, Materials and Methods.	I agree and have corrected it. I agree and have corrected it. I agree and have corrected it.
Optional/General comments		