



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_24676
Title of the Manuscript:	P53 Codon 72 Polymorphism and the Risk of Cervical Cancer in Zimbabwean Women
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Relevant and consistent study. Necessary to attempts of new modalities in control of cervical cancer 1. Introduction: Explain what is the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) of the codon 72 of p53 which results in translation to either arginine or proline, because many readers who will read the manuscript do not know what is polymorphism. There are few studies on this still 2. Methodology: I suggest justify the choice of the age between 30-84 years.	1. AN EXPLANATION HAS BEEN ADDED INTO THE MANUSCRIPT. THE EXPLANATION IS HIGHLIGHTED IN RED. 2. A JUSTIFICATION HAS BEEN ADDED INTO THE METHODOLOGY SECTION OF THE MANUSCRIPT [HIGHLIGHTED IN RED]
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	What were the limitations of the study?	THE LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY HAVE BEEN ADDED AT THE END OF DISCUSSION SECTION [HIGHLIGHTED IN RED]



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think you should suggest other studies on genotypes and cervical cancer.	FUTURE STUDIES HAVE BEEN SUGGESTED AT THE END OF LIMITATIONS IN THE DISCUSSION SECTION [HIGHLIGHTED IN RED]
	AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS	This study was carried out in collaboration between all the authors. VK, NC, AM and CM designed the study. WK collected samples from patients. VK and NC carried out laboratory experiments. VK and GN performed the statistical analysis. All authors wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.