



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_24416
Title of the Manuscript:	An original study on Blaschkoid pityriasis rosea – one of the rarest atypical variants of this paraviral exanthem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It can be publish as letter to editor format	We understand that space in your prestigious journal is limited. We believe that a formal research report would be more appropriate for our article owing to four reasons: (1) This article is the first original study for Blaschkoid pityriasis rosea. This is very original. No other similar report has been published. (2) Only one patient with Blaschkoid PR has been reported previously. The three patients described in our article thus represent the second, third, and fourth reported patients with Blaschkoid pityriasis rosea. (3) Although we finally found and described only three patients with Blaschkoid pityriasis rosea, we searched our clinical records for eight years in order to retrieve 507 patients with pityriasis rosea. We then hand-searched the records of these 507 patients, and found three patients with Blaschkoid pityriasis rosea. That was quite a lot of work which we have done. Whether this article deserves a full report or as an item of correspondence is at the sole discretion of the Editors. We sincerely plead to the Editors to take these three reasons into account when making such a decision.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	none	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It can be publish as letter to editor format	