



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_24056
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the TB Surveillance System in Harare City Health Department, Zimbabwe in 2013
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Word count of abstract should be reduced to 250. - Review the journal's format to rewrite the abstract. - In methodology, clarify the inclusion and exclusion criteria of study. - Which sampling technique was used and what was the study design?? - Too many headings in methodology should be removed. - Please add the comprehensive study proforma used for proceedings. It can be shown in table form, - Rewrite the conclusion into maximum 3-5 lines. - Add the website & retrieving date in reference no. 1,4,7,8,9,11,17 & 18 - Ref.no 10 should be added. - Journal issue and page no. should be clarified in ref. no. 3 - Ref. no 12,13 ,1 4, 15 should be rewritten as per Vancouver style.	-the word count of the abstract is now below 247. -the abstract has been rewritten according to journal format. -the inclusion and exclusion criteria have been clarified [highlighted in red in manuscript]. -the sampling technique and study design have been clarified [highlighted in red in manuscript]. -some headings in the methodology have been removed and others have been combined [highlighted in red in manuscript]. -???????????????????????????????????? I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THEY WANT A SUMMARY OF THE METHODOLOGY USE BASED ON CDC GUIDELINES??? -the conclusion has been written in few lines [highlighted in red in manuscript]. -the websites and dates of retrieval have been added -all the refs were edited [highlighted in red in manuscript]. -the refs have been edited -the refs have been edited
Minor REVISION comments	--	
Optional/General comments		