



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_24056
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the TB Surveillance System in Harare City Health Department, Zimbabwe in 2013
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Nice scientific evaluation I saw some little errors in the abstract and the conclusion. I have made come correction which I added as a note to the underlined words or sentence in red colour	-the errors in abstract and conclusion have been corrected [highlighted in red in manuscript]. -corrections have been made to underlined words or sentences in red [highlighted in red in manuscript].
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The reference are not well arranged I think the reference should be written in alphabetical order or possibly using the journal options.	--the references have been corrected to the appropriate format of the Journal [highlighted in red in manuscript].
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		