



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_24051
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Two Survey Methods based on Response Distribution of Pediatricians in India: Mail versus Telephone
Type of Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I propose to add the paragraph concerning the calculation of the sample size (see Author's comment- paragraph 2 a) in the manuscript, paragraph 2.1 (Sample Size and Sample Design) No others comments Thank you	We added the following from the author's comment from the previous revision into the manuscript under "Sample Size and Sample Design" "Sample size was calculated by using a list provided by the IAP, we divides members into five strata: 1) Bihar; 2) UP; 3) low-performing states; 4) mid-performing states; 5) high-performing states. Low-, mid- and high-performing states will be defined on the basis of UNICEF coverage estimates. From each strata we will select a simple random sample of IAP members (N=275 from UP; N=230 from Bihar; N=85 from low-performing states; N=125 from the middle-performing states; N=85 from the high- performing states) for a total sample of 800 IAP members. Assuming a 50% response rate, this sampling scheme allowed us to estimate true population proportions with an error of $\pm 10\%$ on a national level."