



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_23747
Title of the Manuscript:	High disease burden among sanitation workers of Shimla Municipality in Himachal Pradesh, India - A leading cause of adult mortality
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Page 14: calculations are some point confusing. If conclusion is given in months (1 worker/2 months) then time duration should be also be given in months. - Complains are very local or municipal. - Line 89: HBV is mentioned first time, but no description of the acronym is given. - Lines 204 and 205, pictures 1 and 2 should be showing the poor healthy conditions, for instance, in garbage collection, as described in the text, to make it clear. - Some conclusive paragraph, with suggested solutions, should be included. - Some graph, percentage or quantitative value should be given.	Given and highlighted. Local. Done and highlighted. Pics Corrected and changed. Done. Done.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		