



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_18687
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of leukocyturia among Schistosoma haematobium infected school children in Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have reviewed the manuscript "Prevalence of leukocyturia among Schistosoma haematobium infected school children in Cameroon" Ms_IJTDH_18687 and some comments have been raised: 1. Some sections of the manuscript are not clear and require new redaction (indicated on the manuscript) 2. More local data about the infection is required in the introduction section 3. Authors should include the criteria selection for study school children in the "Material and Methods" section. 4. I think that the data were not properly analyzed. Categorization per groups resulted in a reduction of the participants' number and this reduced the statistical power of the test. Likely, multivariate analysis is required. 5. On the other hand, it is probably that the low prevalence and low intensity of the infection in the study population were not strong enough to find significant results. 6. Titles of the tables should be self-explicative. 7. In my opinion the manuscript cannot be published in the current form There are ethical issues in the manuscript. This study is working with humans	To our knowledge there is not enough published data on <i>S. haematobium</i> infection in the village where we conducted this study. We got only an article by the literature search (reference 15). This reference has been cited at the introduction. The information for the study site was added to the study setting section. Information regarding inclusion criteria were added in the study population and data collection section. In this section, we also added number of cells to line 72 In our opinion, the titles of our tables are sufficiently self-explanatory. The manuscript already has an ethical consideration section (section 2.4).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		