

Editorial comments:

I have the following 10 comments.

Please carefully correct the manuscript and clearly respond each comment precisely.

I recommend the authors to revise the manuscript, and to resubmit it.

1] Page numbers are missing.

2] Lines 36-45;

Three paragraphs should be merged into one.

3] Results;

Please separately explain each table more detail by using each paragraph for each table.

Each paragraph must include the table number.

4] All Tables;

The statistics results should be presented as follows.

For the decimal statistics;

18.2%

5.3%

23.7%

For the group distance;

7-8

13-15

9-11

For the regular statistics results;

2

12

213

15

5] Table 5;

Organize the table with the professional matter as a researcher.

6] Lines 156-181;

The paragraph is too long. It diverts readers' concentration and attention.

Please divide the current paragraph into three paragraph.

7] Lines 188-194;

Two paragraphs should be merged into one.

8] Conclusion;

There is a typo.

9] Conclusion;

Clearly state **limitations** of the study.

10] Conclusion;

Clearly state **policy implications** of the study.

Author's feedback:

The editorial comments are welcome and have been attended to. In other words, the manuscript has been revised following the reviewer's guidelines as follows.

1. Pages have been inserted into the manuscript.

2. The three paragraphs have been merged as one as directed.
3. Each table has been explained and the table numbers included.
4. Decimal statistics, group distances and regular statistics have been correctly presented.
5. Table 5 has been organized.
6. The paragraph (lines 156 - 188) has been divided into three as directed.
7. The two paragraphs have been merged into one.
8. The typo has been corrected by adding 'of ' before quarry pit water.
9. The limitation of the study which is the difficulty in getting the consent of the head teachers of schools and the parents of the children has been stated.
10. Policy implications of the study have also been stated.