

Shiga toxin- and non-Shigatoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 from cattle, goats and chicken in Ado-Ekiti, Southwest, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Illnesses due to contaminated food, particularly food of animal origin, are perhaps the most widespread health problem in the contemporary world. The aim of this study was to detect Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) strains in slaughtered cattle, poultry birds and goats in Ado-Ekiti, South-west, Nigeria as a prelude to their possible risk to human health in the study area. A total of 722 non-repeat faecal samples from the various animals and poultry birds were examined for STEC. Of these, 316 strains of *E. coli* were isolated from 19.6% local chicken, 4.1% broiler, 2.9% layers, and 0.9% cockerels. The organism was also recovered from 10.1% goats and 62.3% cattle. The conventional culture method was used to select the non-sorbitol fermenting *E. coli* phenotype from the isolates of which 13.3% strains were presumptively identified as O157 serotype based on inability to ferment sorbitol on sorbitol MacConkey agar (SMAC). These serotypes determined by the standard agglutination technique with commercial serotyping kits capable of detecting both O157 and non-O157 STEC confirmed 6.6% of these as O157 comprising 4.1% from cattle and 2.5% from local chicken. Fifteen 4.7% strains were also serologically confirmed as non-O157 strains of which 3 (0.9%) were from cattle, 3.2% from goats and 0.6% from local chicken. Furthermore, the ability of these organisms to produce Shiga toxins Verocells and the presence of virulence genes *stx1*, *stx2* and *eae* by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) showed the complete absence of the virulence genes in the 13 serologically confirmed strains of O157 recovered from cattle. The virulence gene *stx1* was detected only in non-O157 strains of *E. coli* from goats and local chickens. The study shows that the prevalence of O157 STEC is low both in cattle (6.6%) and local chicken (2.5%) in the study area. To our knowledge, our study is the first report on the isolation of non-O157 STEC in goats, harboring shiga toxin genes in the study area.

Keywords: Shiga toxin, *Escherichia coli*, STEC O157, non-O157 STEC, Food animals.

INTRODUCTION

Shiga toxin (Stx)-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) has been reported as one of the most important causes of foodborne infections and emerging issues worldwide (Gilmour *et al.*, 2009; Menrath *et al.*, 2010; Sánchez *et al.*, 2010; Mingle *et al.*, 2012; Etcheverría and Padola, 2013; Gould *et al.*, 2013; Kiranmayi *et al.*, 2013; Özpimare *et al.*, 2013). STEC, also known as verocytotoxin-producing *E. coli* (VTEC) is a foodborne organism that has been associated with sporadic cases and outbreaks of bacterial enteritis in humans (Karama and Gyles, 2008; Money *et al.*, 2010; Ajayi *et al.*, 2011; ECDC/EFSA, 2011; Rocha *et al.*, 2012; Mingle *et al.*, 2012; Gould *et al.*, 2013; Elhadidy and Mohammed, 2013; Slayton *et al.*, 2013). STEC infections in humans have been acquired through consumption of food or water contaminated directly or indirectly with cattle faeces (Elder *et al.*, 2000). Most infections due to STEC in humans have been attributed to serotype O157. Albeit, non-O157 STEC is increasingly being

Comment [T1]: of the strains

Comment [T2]: Fifteen strains (4,7%)

Comment [T3]: Number before the %

Comment [T4]: Number before the %

Comment [T5]: tested in Vero cells

Comment [T6]: eae assayed by

Comment [T7]: E. coli strains

Comment [T8]: eliminate

UNDER PEER REVIEW

recognized to be of considerable importance as it is frequently associated with sporadic outbreak of both mild and severe STEC disease in humans globally (Johnson *et al.*, 2006; Lathrop *et al.*, 2009; Menrath *et al.*, 2010; Scheut *et al.*, 2011; Cao *et al.*, 2013).

Diseases caused by STEC are characterized by abdominal pain and bloody diarrhoea, and 5 to 15% of those infected with serotype O157 develop haemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), a potentially life-threatening condition consisting of haemolytic anaemia, thrombocytopenia and kidney failure caused by Stx (Cleary, 2004; Loos *et al.*, 2012). STEC is the primary aetiologic agent of HUS, and *E. coli* O157:H7 is the prevalent serotype detected (Rivas, 2008; Menrath *et al.*, 2010; Scheut *et al.*, 2011; Loos *et al.*, 2012; Rocha *et al.*, 2012; Cadona *et al.*, 2013).

Shiga toxins are members of a family of highly potent bacterial toxins responsible for severe clinical symptoms and are the main virulence marker for STEC (Carey *et al.*, 2008; Madic *et al.*, 2011; Etcheverría and Padola, 2013). Stx belong to a defined protein subfamily, the RNAN-glycosidases that can be classified into two antigenic groups: Shiga toxin 1 (Stx1) and Shiga toxin 2 (Stx2). Stx1 is a rather homologous group with three variants (Stx1, Stx1c and Stx1d). Stx2 group is more heterogeneous and comprises several subtypes (Friedrich *et al.*, 2002; Manning *et al.*, 2008; Vu-Khac and Cornick, 2008). Other virulence factors that may play a role in the pathogenicity of Shiga toxin include intimin (encoded by the *eaeA* gene), which is required for intimate adherence of these pathogens and formation of attaching and effacing (A/E) lesion (Friedrich *et al.*, 2002; Khan *et al.*, 2003; Collaway, 2004; Etcheverría and Padola, 2013). Stx production is not restricted to serotype O157 strains as over 100 STEC serotypes have been isolated from humans with diarrhoeal illness (Johnson *et al.*, 2006; Menrath *et al.*, 2010; Madic *et al.*, 2011; Cadona *et al.*, 2013).

It has been estimated that *E. coli* O157:H7 causes two thirds of the human infections in the United States with the other one-third of cases attributed to the non-O157 STEC population (Maeda *et al.*, 1999). Furthermore, studies in Europe indicate that non-O157 STEC infections occur more frequently than do STEC O157 infections (Nielsen *et al.*, 2006; Menrath *et al.*, 2010; Elhadidi and Mohammed, 2013). In resource-poor countries, STEC strains belonging to serotype O157 are also the most common causes of human diseases. However, there is yet no report on the role played by non-O157 strains of STEC in these areas.

Healthy cattle and small ruminants are the main reservoir of the organism and direct and indirect contact with animals is one of the transmission routes by which infection is acquired (Smith *et al.*, 2008; Khandaghi *et al.*, 2011; Etcheverría and Padola, 2013; Ramoneda *et al.*, 2013). Person-to-person transmission by the faecal-oral route occurs in families and institutional settings such as schools and nurseries. Ruminant animals carry a diversity of STEC and isolation rates have been reported to be generally higher in sheep than in cattle (Fegan *et al.*, 2008). However, cattle appear to be a major natural reservoir and an important source of human infection (Heuvelink *et al.*, 2008; Mor-Mur and Yuste, 2010). STEC O157 strains have also been isolated from other domestic animals including sheep, goats, horses, pigs, geese and turkeys (Synge, 2000; Amézquita-López *et al.*, 2012). In low income countries, the common transmission routes include animal-to-human, waterborne and person-to-person (Effler *et al.*, 2001). Faecal contamination is one source of environmental contamination and is responsible for the presence of these pathogens in the environment (Bertrand and Roig, 2007; Ramoneda *et al.*, 2013). Transmission of STEC O157 to man occurs mainly by consumption of contaminated food, including undercooked beef and meat products, unpasteurized milk and ready-to-eat products including cooked meats and vegetables that

Comment [T9]: is one of

have been contaminated (Griffin and Tauxe, 1991; Lopez-Saucedo *et al.*, 2010; Cadona *et al.*, 2013; Elhadidy and Mohammed, 2013).

Although, meat is generally consumed overcooked in Southwest, Nigeria, cattle grazing is often done near or in residential areas in the locality. At times, grazing is done near river beds and flowing streams, which serve as sources of drinking water particularly in most villages, thereby contaminating the environment and water sources. Moreover, cattle faeces are used ignorantly and/or deliberately as manure in vegetable farms and gardens. Furthermore, cross-contamination of meat products with bacteria often occurs during slaughter and production. Consumption of chicken and other foods contaminated either through faecal contact or processing failure is the most important cause of indigenous foodborne outbreaks (Adaka *et al.*, 2005; Morand Yuste, 2010; Adetunji and Isola, 2011; Ramoneda *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, faeces are washed off and water sources including rivers, streams, rivulets and wells meant for domestic use, are contaminated during heavy rainfall. Foods and vegetables meant for human consumption may subsequently become contaminated (Quadra *et al.*, 2005; Özpinar *et al.*, 2013). Although considerable progress has been made in understanding the ecology of STEC in far animal hosts and the modes of transmission to humans, it still remains unclear if all STEC present in animal reservoirs present a risk to human health. Substantial gaps in knowledge about the epidemiology of Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* exist in the study area, Ekiti State.

The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* O157 in known reservoirs of the organism such as cattle, goats and chicken in Ekiti State, Nigeria as a prelude to assessing the presence of these pathogens in the environment and their possible risk to human health in the study area.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collection of faecal samples from poultry sources, goats, and cattle

A total of 722 faecal samples from various sources were examined in this study. Of these 361 were from cattle, 49 from goats, 19 from cockerels, 141 from local chickens, 100 from broilers and 52 from layers. These samples were collected with culturette.

Faecal samples were also collected from cattle slaughtered at two central abattoirs in Ado-Ekiti and samples were transported to the laboratory immediately and processed within one hour of collection.

Processing of faecal samples

Faecal samples were inoculated directly onto Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) agar (Fluka 70186), streaked to obtain discrete colonies and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h. The plates were examined for colonies with characteristic green metallic sheen, which is the distinguishing feature of *E. coli* on EMB agar. A maximum of 3 distinct colonies of *E. coli* were picked per sample and stored as slant on Nutrient agar. All colonies showing green metallic sheen on EMB agar were streaked onto Sorbitol MacConkey agar plates (SMAC; Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

Comment [T10]: onto

Comment [T11]: obtain isolated

Identification and serotyping of *E. coli*

The bacterial isolates from the various sources were identified on the bases of their cultural, morphological and biochemical characteristics as described by Barrow and Feltham (1993). The strains of *E. coli* O157 were identified culturally on the basis of inability to ferment sorbitol on SMACa agar and confirmed serologically as O157 by latex agglutination serotyping kit (Dryspot *E. coli* O157 latex test) for *Escherichia coli* O157 (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) and (Dryspot *E. coli* serocheck and seroscreen latex test) for the detection of 6 non-O157 serotypes O26, O91, O103, O111, O128 and O145.

Cytotoxicity assay

The cytotoxicity of *E. coli* on vero cells (African Green Monkey kidney cell) was determined by as described by Clarke *et al.* (1999). The vero cells were grown in a 24-well microtitre plate in Eagle's minimal essential medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and incubated at 37°C until a confluent monolayer growth had formed. All *E. coli* strains tested were grown overnight in Brain Heart Infusion broth and the supernatant was clarified by membrane filtration with 0.22 µm size Millipore filter. The clarified supernatant was diluted fivefold (1/5 to 1/25) and added to 2.5 x 10⁵ cells/ml of confluent vero cells in a 24-well microtitre plates. The plates were incubated in a CO₂ environment for 72 h. The cells were examined for the cytotoxic activity and the strain was considered cytotoxic if there was >50% cytotoxic effect on the vero cells.

Detection of virulence genes *stx1*, *stx2* and *eae* by PCR

The chromosomal DNA used in this study was extracted as described by Aranda *et al.* (2004). Overnight culture of 12 previously identified *E. coli* strains from poultry (3), cattle (6), and goat (3) were harvested from MacConkey agar and suspended in 250 µl of sterile water, incubated at 100°C for 5 min to release DNA and centrifuged. The supernatant was used in the PCR assay. The primers used (VT1-A, VT1-B, VT2-A, VT2-B, EAE-2) to amplify the virulence genes were capable of detecting *stx1* and *stx2* and *eae*. Amplification was performed using a 50 µl volume containing 10 µl of the prepared sample supernatant; 150 ng oligonucleotide primers; 0.2 mM (each) dATP, dGTP, dCTP, and dTTP buffer solution (10 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.8); 1.5 mM MgCl₂; 50 mM KCl) and 1 U of *Taq* polymerase (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Germany). The conditions for the PCR were 94°C for 2 minutes for initial denaturation of DNA within the sample followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 minute (denaturation), 55°C for 1 minute (primer annealing) and 72°C for 1 minute (DNA synthesis). This was performed in a thermal cycler (FT GENE5D, Techne, Cambridge, England). For every reaction, a negative (sterile distilled water) and a positive (reference strain ATCC 25929) controls and a molecular size marker were used to determine the size of amplified fragments. Base sequences and predicted sizes of amplified products for the specific primers used in the study are shown in Table 1.

Comment [T12]: eliminate

Comment [T13]: 1989

RESULTS

Isolation of *Escherichia coli* from poultry and animal sources

A total of 316 strains of *Escherichia coli* were isolated from 62 (19.6%), 13 (4.1%), 9 (2.85%) and 3 (0.9%) of local chicken, broiler, layers and cockerels respectively. *Escherichia coli* was also isolated from 32 (10.1%) of goats and 197 (62.3%) of cattle faecal samples (Table 2).

Comment [T14]: E. coli strains were

Comment [T15]: samples of local..

Isolation of non-sorbitol fermenting phenotype

Of the 316 samples which yielded growth of *E. coli*, 42 (13.3%) were non-sorbitol fermenters. However, out of the 42 non-sorbitol fermenters, only 21 (6.6%) strains were confirmed as O157 serologically. Of these 21 O157 strains, 13 (4.1%) were from cattle and 8 (2.50%) were from local chicken. Non-sorbitol fermenting colonies were not isolated from all the other categories of poultry birds and goats (Table 3).

Serotyping of STEC strains isolated from cattle and local chicken and goats

Of the 42 non-sorbitol fermenting strains tested, only 21 made up of 13 (4.1%) were from cattle and 8 (2.5%) were from local chicken caused visible agglutination within one minute with the latex reagent for O157. None of the strains from broilers, layers and cockerels showed any visible agglutination with the O157 latex reagent. Furthermore, a total of 15 (4.7%) strains made up of 3 (0.9%) from cattle, 2 (0.6%) from local chicken and 10 (3.2%) from goats caused a visible agglutination with the seroscreen latex reagent for detecting the 6 common non-O157 STEC and were therefore identified as belonging to any of the non-O157 serotypes (Table 3).

Detection of verocytotoxin by tissue culture

Only 5 strains from cattle and 2 from local chicken had cytotoxic effect on over 50% of the tissue cell monolayer after 24 hours of growth. Out of the 5 *E. coli* strains that showed cytotoxic effect, 2 were O157 serotype and three were non-O157. Also, the 2 strains from local chicken were non-O157 strains (Table 4).

Detection of virulence genes (*stx1*, *stx2*, *eae*) by PCR

Three out of the selected 12 strains whose genes were amplified by PCR showed the presence of detectable bands with molecular sizes ranging between 302 and 303 bp. This molecular size of 302 bp corresponds to the molecular size of *stx1* used in the experiment. Of the three strains two were non-O157 isolates from goats, one from cattle and none from poultry. None of the other isolates selected from cattle harboured any of the virulence genes examined.

DISCUSSION

Shigatoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) are essentially zoonotic enteric pathogens commonly associated with human gastroenteritis globally and cattle and small ruminants are important animal reservoirs (Amézquita-Lopez *et al.*, 2012). The present study investigated animal reservoirs for STEC in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. A total of 21 (6.6%) and 15 (4.7%) of O157 and non-O157 STEC strains were respectively isolated from the faeces of various domestic animals in this study. Strains of O157 STEC were isolated from 8 (2.5%) local chicken and 13 (4.1%) cattle while non-O157 STEC was isolated from local chicken (0.6%), cattle (0.9%) and (3.2%) goats (Table 2). The finding in this study agrees with earlier reports that cattle are the primary source of O157 STEC (Effler *et al.* 2001; Naylor *et al.*, 2005). Various prevalence rates of *E. coli* O157 ranging from 0% to 70% in dairy calves (Bonard *et al.*, 2001), 66.6% in sheep, 56.1% in goats, 7.5% in pigs, 13.8% in cats and 4.8% in dogs and <0.7% in chickens have also been reported (Beutin *et al.*, 1993). The low incidence of serotype O157 in this study may explain why infection with STEC O157 in humans is not common in the study area. Furthermore, this finding corroborates the report of a low incidence of *E. coli* O157 infections in humans in Nigeria (Aibinu *et al.*, 2007). However, studies in other countries have reported a strong seasonal influence on the occurrence of O157 in cattle (Gansheroff and O'Brien, 2000) and prevalence rates have been reported to vary with method of detection, geographical location (Aktan *et al.*, 2007; Manning *et al.*, 2007; Jenkin *et al.*, 2008), season of the year and age group in the animal reservoir (Money *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, the finding of Ramoneda *et al.* (2013) indicated that even under good manufacturing practices and stringent European standards, the total absence of STEC may not be absolutely guaranteed.

Result from this study also showed a prevalence of 4.1% of *E. coli* O157 in healthy cattle and 3.2% non-O157 STEC strains in healthy goats (Table 3). These are slightly higher than a similar study in an Irish abattoir (McCann *et al.*, 2008). However, the fact still remains that while non-O157 STEC is carried by other domestic animals such as goats, serogroup O157 remains the most dominant STEC strain in the study area. This agrees with the findings of McCann *et al.* (2008) who also reported a prevalence of 2.6% for O157 STEC from cattle faeces and a prevalence ranging between 0.8-1.8% for non-O157 STEC in an Irish abattoir and Renteria *et al.* (2007) recorded 14% non-O157 STEC strains in cattle faeces in Alberta, Canada. Khandaghi *et al.* (2011) reported the recovery of non-O157 STEC strain O26 from bovine faeces in Iran.

Ruminants, especially cattle constitute a vast reservoir of STEC, and it is not surprising that human infection can frequently be traced to contamination of food or water with manure. The carriage of the pathogens by cattle can be targeted as an area in which interventions may reduce contamination of food and the environment with pathogenic STEC shed by healthy cattle. More than 470 serotypes of STEC have been reported from humans (Blanco *et al.*, 2004) and most of these being serotypes that have been identified in cattle. In North America, cattle are the most significant reservoir of STEC, but in countries such as Australia, sheep are of greater significance (Gyles, 2007).

Comment [T16]: ,

Comment [T17]: Cattle and small ruminants are considered an important animal reservoirs for STEC.

Comment [T18]: of O157 serotype

Our study is the first published report of the isolation of non-O157 STEC from goats in the study area, hence the role of non-O157 in STEC-associated disease may need to be further established in the study area. The significance of non-O157 STEC as enteric pathogens is probably underestimated because there are no simple laboratory methods to detect and isolate these organisms. However, high incidence rates of non-O157 STEC serogroups have been reported under conditions of enhanced surveillance (Fey *et al.*, 2000; Jelacic *et al.*, 2003; Thompson *et al.*, 2005; Lockary *et al.*, 2007; Lopez-Saucedo *et al.*, 2010). Reports have shown that global “hotspots” exist including Argentina, Australia and Germany in which non-O157 STEC serogroups dominate over O157 serogroups, (Johnson *et al.*, 2006). For example, in Germany, non-O157 STEC account for up to 80% of STEC-associated diarrhoeal illnesses (Huppertz *et al.*, 1996; Menrath *et al.*, 2010; ECDC/EFSA, 2011).

Production of Shiga toxin is the critical virulence factor in STEC diseases. There is evidence of an association of *stx2* with a high risk of developing HUS and the presence of both *eae* and *tx2* in a STEC isolate is considered to be a predictor of HUS (Ethelberg *et al.*, 2004). Furthermore, *stx2* is about 1,000 times more toxic for human renal microvascular endothelial cells than *stx1* (Louise and Obrig, 1995). In this study, virulence genes *stx1* was detected both in O157 and non-O157 STEC isolated from goat, cattle and local chicken (Table 4). This may probably explain the low severity of the infection and possibly lack of awareness, serious concern and attention in the study area (Bai *et al.*, 2013). A nearer study reported the isolation of *E. coli* O157:H7 from some food animals including goats in Lagos State, Nigeria (Smith *et al.*, 2003).

Although several other reports outside Africa cited goats as potential sources of *E. coli* O157:H7 infection (Chapman *et al.*, 2000; Pritchard *et al.*, 2000; Payne *et al.*, 2003) none was isolated from goats in our present study. Outbreak of *E. coli* O157:H7 associated with unpasteurized goat milk has been described in Canada (McIntyre *et al.*, 2002). However, the role of goats as potential reservoirs of non-O157 STEC in the study area is shown in this study. The presence of *stx1* detected in isolates from goat in this study is the first report of the presence of virulence associated genes in *E. coli* isolates from animal origin in Nigeria. The fact that some of the serologically confirmed O157 strains isolated from cattle in this study did not harbour any of the virulence genes show that carriage of the virulence genes by O157 STEC is not automatic. Formation of biofilm particularly by non-O157 STEC serotypes may present a challenge in food safety which should mean another source for concern and therefore, calls for attention in food processing facilities (Wang *et al.*, 2012).

Chicken is one of the most common animal protein sources consumed by the Nigerian populace; they are readily reared at home where by many individuals come in close contact with them and their faeces than other animals which are reared in farms. A previous study in Nigeria also reported isolation of *E. coli* O157 from poultry even though they appeared healthy (Aibinu *et al.*, 2007). Therefore, irrespective of serotype, carriage of the combination of *stx*, *eae*, and *EHEC-hlyA* may be a good indicator for the pathogenic potential of STEC strains.

Comment [T19]: be

Comment [T20]: population

Ruminants have been identified as the major reservoir of *E. coli* O157:H7 and also appear to be a reservoir for non-O157:H7 STEC (Doyle *et al.*, 2010) although non-O157 STEC have also been detected in non-ruminant animals (Oz Food Net Working Group, 2003). STEC has been isolated from a variety of domestic animals. However, it is believed that in many cases they are present as transient bacteria that the animals acquire from feed or water probably contaminated with faecal materials from ruminants. This may be a possible reason why non-O157 STEC was isolated from local chicken which are free-ranged domestic animals but was absent in categories of poultry chicken which are caged and do receive medical attentions. However, STEC O157 has been isolated in layer hens in Italy.

CONCLUSION

This study has shown that the prevalence of STEC O157 is low both in cattle (6.6%) and local chicken (2.5%) in the study area compared to that observed in developed countries. Furthermore, our study is the first to report the isolation of non-O157 STEC in goats, a very common domestic animal, in the study area.

REFERENCES


- Adak, G.K., Meakin, S.A., Yip, H., Loperman, B.A. and O'Brein, S.J. (2002). Disease risks from foods, England and Wales 1996-2000. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 11(3):365-37.
- Adetunji, V.O. and Isola, T.O. (2011). Antibiotic resistance of *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria* and *Salmonella* isolates from retail meat in Ibadan municipal abattoir, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 10(30):5795-5799.
- Aibinu, I.E., Peters, R.F., Amisu, K.O., Adesida, S.A., Ojo, M.A. and Odugbemi, T. (2007). Multidrug resistance in *Escherichia coli* O157 strains and the Public health Implication. *J. Am. Sc.* 3:22-33.
- Ajayi, A.O., Olowe, O.A. and Famurewa, O. (2011). Plasmid analysis of fluoroquinolone resistant commensal *Escherichia coli* from faecal samples of apparently healthy cattle in Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State. *J. Animal Vet. Adv.* 10(2):180-184.
- Aktan, I., Carter, B., Wilking, H., LaRagione, R.M., Wieler, L., Woodward, M.J. and Anjum, M.F. (2007). Influence of geographical origin, host animal and stx gene on the virulence characteristics of *Escherichia coli* O26 strains. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 56:1431-1439.
- Amézquita-López, B.A., Quiñones, B., Cooley, M.B., León-Félix, J., Castro-del Campo, N., Mandell, R.E., Jiménez, M. and Chaidez, C. (2012). Genotypic analyses of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 and non-O157 recovered from feces of domestic animals on rural farms in Mexico. *PLoS ONE*. 7(12):e51565. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051565.
- Aranda, K.R.S., Fagundes-Neto, U. and Scaletsky, I.C.A. (2004). Evaluation of multiplex PCRs for diagnosis of infection with diarrhoeagenic *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* spp. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 42:5849-5853.
- Bai, X., Zhao, A., Lau, R., Xin, Y., Meng, Q., Jin, D., Yu, B., Sen, H., Lu, S., Xu, J. and Xiong, Y. (2013). Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in yaks (*Bos grunniens*) from the Qinghai-Tibetan plateau, China. *PLoS ONE*. 8(6):e65537. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065537.
- Barrow, G.I. and Feltham, R.K.A. (1993). *Cowan and Steel's Manual for the identification of Medical Bacteria* (3rd edition) Cambridge University Press London. 122-148.

- 352 Bertrand, R. and Roig, B. (2007). Evaluation of enrichment-free PCR-
353 based detection of the *fbE* gene of *Escherichia coli* O157-
354 Application to municipal water. *Water Res.* 41(6):1280-1286.
- 355 Beutin, L., Geier, D., Steinruck, H., Zimmermann, S. and Schuetz, F. (1993). Prevalence and some properties
356 of verotoxin (Shiga-like-toxin)-
357 producing *Escherichia coli* in seven different species of healthy domestic animals. *J Clin Micro*
358 *biol.* 31(9):2438-2488.
- 359 Blanco, J. E., Blanco, M., Alonso, M. P., Mora, A., Dahbi, G., Coira, M. A. and Blanco, J. (2004). Serotypes,
360 virulence genes, and intimin types of shiga toxin (verotoxin)-
361 producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from human patients: Prevalence in Lugo, Spain from 1992
362 through 1999. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 42:311-319.
- 363 Bonardi, S., Maggi, E., Pizzini, G., Morabito, S. and Caprioli, A. (2001). Faecal carriage of verocytotoxin-
364 producing *Escherichia coli* O157 and carcass contamination in cattle slaughterhouses in Northern Ita-
365 ly. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 66:1109-129.
- 366 Carey, C. M., Kostrzynska, M., Ojha, S. and Thompson, C. (2008). The effect of probiotics and organic aci-
367 ds of shiga-toxin-
368 2 gene expression in enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 73
369 (2):125-132.
- 370 Cadona, J. S., Bustamante, A. V., Parma, A. E., Lucchesi, P. M. A. and Sanso, A. M. (2013) Distribution of a
371 dditional virulence factors related to adhesion and toxicity in Shiga toxin-
372 producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from raw products in Argentina. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 56:4
373 49-455.
- 374 Cao, G., Ju, W., Rump, L., Zhao, S., Zou, L., Wang, C., Strain, E., Luo, Y., Timme, R., Allard, M., Brown, E.
375 and Meng, J. (2013). Genome sequences of two emerging non-O157 Shiga toxin-
376 producing *Escherichia coli* strains. *Genome Announc.* 1(3):e00200-13.
377 doi:10.1128/genomeA.00200-13.
- 378 Chapman, P. A., Cornell, J. and Green, C. (2000). Infection with verocytotoxin-
379 producing *Escherichia coli* O157 on a farm open to the public: outbreak investigation and longit-
380 udinal study. *Vet. Records.* 147:259-264.
- 381 Clarke, R. C., McEwen, S. A., Gannon, V. P., Lior, H., Gyles, C. L. (1999). Isolation of verocytotoxin-
382 producing *Escherichia coli* from milk filters in south-
383 western Ontario. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 102:253-260.
- 384 Cleary, T. G. 2004. The role of Shiga toxin-
385 producing *Escherichia coli* in haemolytic uremic syndrome. *Semin. Pediatr Infect Dis.* 15:260-
386 265.
- 387 Collaway, T. R. (2004). What are we doing about *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in cattle? *J. Anim.*
388 *Sci* 82:93-99.
- 389 ECDC/EFSA (2011). Shiga toxin/verotoxin-
390 producing *Escherichia coli* in humans, food and animals in EU/EEA, with special reference to the
391 German outbreak strain STEC O104. JOINT Technical Report, Stockholm. pp.23.
- 392 Effler, P., Isaacson, M., Arntzen, L., Heenan, R., Cantel, P., Barrett, T., Lee, L., Mambo, C., Levine, W., Zai-
393 di, A. K. and Griffin, P. M. (2001). Factors contributing to the emergence of *Escherichia coli* O157:
394 7:H7 in Africa. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 7(5):815-819.
- 395 Elder, R. O., Keen, J. E., Siragusa, G. R., Barcocky-
396 Gallagher, G. A., Koomarie M. and Laegreid, W. W. (2000). Correlation of enterohaemorrhagic
397 *Escherichia coli* prevalence in faeces, hides and carcasses of beef cattle during processing. *Proc*
398 *.Natl.Acad.Sci.* 97:2999-3003.
- 399 Elhadidy, M. A. and Mohammed, M. A. (2013) Shiga toxin-
400 producing *Escherichia coli* from raw milk cheese in Egypt: prevalence, molecular characterization
401 and survival to stress conditions. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 56:120-127.
- Etcheverría, A. I. and Padola, N. L. (2013) *Escherichia coli* factors involved in virulence and cattle coloni-

Comment [T21]: 1989

UNDER PEER REVIEW

zat
io
n.
Vi
rul
en
ce.
4(
5):
36
6-
37
2.



- 402 Fey, P.D., Wickert, R.S., Rupp, M.E., Safranek, T.J. and Hinrichs, S.H. (2000). Prevalence of non-
403 O157 shiga-
404 toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in diarrheal stool samples from Nebraska. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*
405 6:530-533.
- 406 Friedrich, A.W., Bielaszewska, M., Zahang, W.L., Pulz, M., Kuczius, T., Ammon, A., Karch,
407 H. (2002). *Escherichia coli* harbouring shiga toxin 2 gene variants: frequency and association with
408 clinical symptoms. *J. Infect. Dis.* 185:74-84.
- 409 Gansheroff, L.J. and O'Brien, A.D. (2000). *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in beef, cattle presented for slaughter
410 in the U.S. Higher prevalence rates than previously estimated. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 97:2959-
411 2961.
- 412 Gilmour, M.W., Chul, L., Chiu, T., Tracz, D.M., Hagedorn, K., Tschetter, L., Tabor, H., Ng,
413 L.K. and Louie, M. (2009). Isolation and detection of shiga toxin-producing
414 *Escherichia coli* in clinical stool samples using conventional and molecular methods.
415 *J. Med. Microbiol.* 58:905-911.
- 416 Gould, L.H., Mody, R.K., Ong, K.L., Clogher, P., Cronquist, A.B., Garman, K.N., Lathrop,
417 S., Medus, C., Spina, N.L., Webb, T.H., White, P.L., Wymore, K., Gierke, R.E., Mahon, B.E., Griffin,
418 P.M. for the Emerging Infections Program FoodNet Working Group. (2013) Increased recognition
419 of non-O157 Shiga toxin-
420 producing *Escherichia coli* infections in the United States during 2000-
421 2010: Epidemiologic features and comparison with *E. coli* O157 infections. *Foodborne Pathog*
422 *Dis.* 10(5):453-460.
- 423 Griffin, P.M. and Tauxe, R.V. (1991). The epidemiology of infections caused by *Escherichia coli* O157:
424 H7, other enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* and the associated haemolytic uremic syndrome. *Epidem*
425 *iol. Rev.* 13:60-98.
- 426 Gyles, C.L. (2007). Shiga toxin-
427 producing *Escherichia coli*: An overview. *J. Anim. Sci.* 85(E.Supp1):E45-E62.
- 428 Heuvelink, A.E., Zwartkruis, A., Van Heerwaarden, C., De Baaij, J., Dijkman, R. and de Boer,
429 E. (2008). Characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157 isolates from farmanimals, wild animals and
430 foods, collected during 2002 till 2007. 3rd Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Network Conference:
431 epidemiology and Transmission of VTEC and other Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, 25th and
432 26th September 2008, Swedish Institute for Infectious Disease Control, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 433 Jelacic, J.K., Damrow, T., Chen, G.S., Jelacic, S., Bielaszewska, M., Ciol, M., Carvaiho, H.M., Melton-
434 Celsa, A.R., O'Brien, A.D. and Tarr, P.I. (2003). Shiga toxin-
435 producing *Escherichia coli* in Montana: bacterial genotypes and clinical profiles. *J. Infect. Dis.*
436 188:719-729.
- 437 Jenkins, C., Evans, J., Chart, H., Willshaw, G.A. and Frankel, J. (2008). *Escherichia coli*
438 serogroup O26—A new look at an old adversary. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 104:14-25.
- 439 Johnson, K.E., Thorpe, C.M. and Sears, C.L. (2006). The emerging clinical importance of non-
440 O157 shiga-toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 43:1585-1595.
- 441 Karama, M. and
442 Gyles, C.L. (2008). Characterization of verotoxin encoding phages from *Escherichia coli* O10
443 3:H2 strains of bovine and human origins. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74:5153-5158.
- 444 Khan, A., Das, S.C., Ramamurthy, T., Khanam, J., Takeda, Y., Bhattacharya, S.K. and Nair, G.B.
445 (2003). Shiga toxin-
446 producing *Escherichia coli* infection: current progress and future challenges. *Indian J. Med. R*
447 *es.* 118:1-24.
- 448 Khandaghi, J., Shayeghi, J., Khakpoor, M. and Barzgari, A. (2011). Shiga toxin-
449 producing *Escherichia coli* O26 strains in bovine feces in East-
450 Azarbaijan, Iran. *Sci. Res. Essays.* 6(1):39-43.
- 451 Kiranmayi, C.B., Krishnairah, N. and Mallika, E.N. (2013) *Escherichia coli* O157:H7-
an emerging pathogen in foods of animal origin. *Vet World.* 3(8):382-389.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 452 Lathrop, S., Edge, K. and Baretta, J. (2009). Shigatoxin-producing *Escherichia*
453 *coli*, New Mexico, USA, 2004-2007. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 12(8):1289-1291.
- 454 Lockary, V. M., Hudson, R. F. and Bali, C. L. (2007). Shigatoxin-
455 producing *Escherichia coli*, Idaho. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 13:1262-1264.
- 456 Loos, S., Ahlenstiel, T., Kranz, B. 3, Staude, H., Pape, L., Härtel, C., Vester, U.,
457 Buchtala, L., Benz, K., Hoppe, B., Beringer, O., Krause, M., Müller, D., Pohl, M., Lemke, J., Hille
458 brand, G., Kreuzer, M., König, J., Wigger, M., Konrad, M., Haffner, D., Oh, J., and Kemper, M. J. (2012). An outbreak of Shiga-toxin-producing
459 *E. coli* O104:H4 hemolytic uremic syndrome (STEC-HUS) in Germany: Presentation and short
460 -term outcome in children. *Clin. Inf. Dis.* 55(6):753-759.
- 461 Lopez-Saucedo, C., Cerra, J. F. and Estrada-Garcia. (2010). Non-O157 Shigatoxin-
462 producing *Escherichia coli* is the most prevalent diarrheagenic *E. coli* pathotype in street-
463 vendeda crossings in Mexico City. *Clin. Inf. Dis.* 50(3):450-451.
- 464 Louise, C. B. and Obrig, T. G. (1995). Specific interaction of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 derived shiga-
465 like toxin II with human renal endothelial cells. *J. Infect. Dis.* 172:1397-1401.
- 466 Madic, J., Vingadassalon, N., de Garam, C. P., Marault, M., Scheutz, F., Brugère, H., Jamet,
467 E. and Auvray, F. (2011). Detection of shigatoxin-
468 producing *Escherichia coli* serotypes O26:H11, O103:H2, O111:H8, O145:H28, and O157:H
469 7 in raw-milk cheeses by using Multiplex Real-
470 Time PCR. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77(6):2035-2041.
- 471 Maed, P. S., Lutsker, L., Dietz, V., McCraig, L. F., Bresse, J. S., Shapiro, C., Griffin, P. M. and Tauxe, R. (19
472 99). Food-related illness and death in the United States. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 5:607-25.
- 473 Manning, S. D., Madera, R. T., Schneider, W., Dietrich, S. E., Khalife, S. E., Brown, W., Whittam, T. S., So
474 msei, P. and Rudnick, J. T. (2007). Surveillance for shigatoxin-
475 producing *Escherichia coli*, Michigan, 2001-2005. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 13:318-321.
- 476 McCann, M. S., Thomas, K. M., Logan, A., Whyte, P., McDowell, D. A. and Duffy, G. (2008). Tracking ver
477 ocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (O157, O111, O26, O103 and O145) in cattle at an Irish abattoir
478 . 3rd Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Network Conference: epidemiology and Transmission of VT
479 EC and other
480 Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, 25th and 26th September 2008, Swedish Institute for Infectious Dis
481 ease Control, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 482 McIntyre, L., Fung, J., Peccagnella, A., Isaac-Renton, J., Rockwell, F., Emerson,
483 B. and Preston, T. (2002). *Escherichia coli* O157 outbreak associated with the ingestion of unpas
484 teurized goat's milk in British Columbia. *Can. Commun. Dis. Report.* 28:6-8.
- 485 Mellmann, A., Harmsen, D., Cummings, C. A., Zentz, E. B., Leopold, S. R., Rico, A., Prior, K., Szczepano
486 wski, R., Ji, Y., Zhang, W., McLaughlin, S. F., Henkhaus, J. K., Leopold, B., Bielaszewska, M., P
487 rager, R., Brzoska, P. M., Moore, R. L., Guenther, S., Rothberg, J. M. and Karch, H. (2011). Prospe
488 ctive genomic characterization of the German enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O104:H4
489 outbreak by Rapid Next Generation Sequencing Technology. *PLoS ONE* 6(7):e22751. doi:10.
490 1371/journal.pone.0022751.
- 491 Menrath, A., Wieler, L. H., Heidemanns, K., Semmler, T., Fruth, A. and Kemper, N. (2010). Shigatoxin pr
492 oducing *Escherichia coli*: identification of non-O157:H7-super-
493 shedding cows and related risk factors. *Gut Pathogens.* 2:7. [http://www.gutpathogens.com/co](http://www.gutpathogens.com/content/2/1/7)
494 [ntent/2/1/7](http://www.gutpathogens.com/content/2/1/7).
- 495 Mingle, L. A., Garcia, D. L., Root, T. P., Halse, T. A., Quinlan, T. M., Armstrong, L. R., Chiefari, A. K., Scho
496 onmaker-Bopp, D. J., Dumas, N. B., Limberger, R. J. and Musser, K. A.
- 497
- 498
- 499
- 500
- 501

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- (2012) Enhanced identification and characterization of non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*: A six-year study. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 9(11):1028-1036.
- Money, P., Kelly, A.F., Gould, S.W.J., Denholm-Price, J., Threlfall, E.J. and Fielder, M.D. (2010). Cattle, weather and water: mapping *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections in humans in England and Scotland. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12(10):2633-2644.
- Mor-Mur, M. and Yuste, J. (2010). Emerging bacterial pathogens in meat and poultry: An overview. *Food Bioprocess Technol.* 3:24-35.
- Nielsen, E.M., Schuetz, F. and Torpdahl, M. (2006). Continuous surveillance of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infections by pulse-field gel electrophoresis shows that most infections are sporadic. *Food-borne Pathog. Dis.* 3:81-87.
- Özpınar, H., Turan, B., Tekinar, İ.H., Tezmen, G., Gökçe, İ. And Akneden, Ö. (2013). Evaluation of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* occurrence in vegetable samples from district bazaar in Istanbul using real-time PCR. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 57:362-367.
- OzFoodNet Working Group. 2003. OzFoodNet: Enhancing foodborne diseases surveillance across Australia: quarterly report, July to September, 2002. *Commun Dis Intelligence* 21:90.
- Payne, C.J., Petrovic, M. and Roberts, R.J. (2003). Verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from ruminants. *Vet. Microbiol.* 96:203-215.
- Pritchard, G.C., Williamson, S., Carson, T., Bailey, J.R., Warner, L., Willshaw, G. and Cheasty, T. (2001). Wild rabbits – a novel vector for verocytotoxinogenic *Escherichia coli* O157. *Vet. Res.* 149:567.
- Quadra, E., Khan, A.I., Faruque, A.G., Gregun, V.A., Chowdhury, F., Wair, G.B., Salam, M.A., Sack, D. A. and Seerénholm, A. (2005). A severe outbreak of cholera in Bangladesh. *Emerg Infect. Dis.* 7:1104-1107.
- Ramóneda, M., Foncuberta, M., Simón, M., Sabalé, S., Ferrer, M.D., Herrera, S., Landa, B., Musté, N., Martí, R., Trabado, V., Carbonell, O., Vila, M., Espelt, M., Ramírez, B. And Duran, J. (2013) Prevalence of verotoxinogenic *Escherichia coli* O157 (VTEC O157) and compliance with microbiological safety and standards in bovine carcasses from an industrial beef slaughter plant. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 56:408-413
- Rivas, M. (2008). Epidemiology and molecular surveillance of shiga toxin (verocytotoxin)-producing *Escherichia coli* infections. 3rd Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Network Conference: Epidemiology and transmission of VTEC and other pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, 25th and 26th September 2008, Swedish Institute for Infectious Disease Control, Stockholm, Sweden.
- Rocha, L., Luz, D.E., Moraes, C.T.P., Caravelli, A., Fernandes, I. Guth, B.E.C., Horton, D.S.P.Q. and Piazza, M.F. (2012) Interaction between Shiga toxin and monoclonal antibodies: binding characteristics and *in vitro* neutralizing abilities. *Toxins*. 4:729-747.
- Sánchez, S., Martínez, R., García, A., Benítez, J.M., Blanco, J., Blanco, J.E., Blanco, M., Dahbi, G., López, C., Mora, A., Alonso, J.M. and Rey, J. (2010). Variation in the prevalence of non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in four sheep flocks during a 12-month longitudinal study. *Small Ruminant Res.* 93:144-148.
- Schuetz, F., Møller-Nielsen, E., Frimodt-Møller, J., Boisen, N., Morabito, S., Tozzoli, R., Nataro, J.P. and Caprioli, A. (2011). Characteristic of the enterohemorrhagic Shiga toxin/verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O104:H4 strain causing the outbreak of haemolytic uremic syndrome in Germany, May to June 2011. *Euro Surveill.* 2011;16(24):pii=19889. Available online: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=19889>.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 551 Slayton, R.B., Turabelidze, G., Bennett, S.D., Schwensohn, C.A., Yaffee, A.Q., Khan, F., Butler, C., Tree
552 s, E., Ayers, T.L., Davis, M.L., Laufer, A.S., Gladbeach, S., Williams, I. And Gieraltowski, L.B. (2013). Outbreak of Shiga toxin-
553 producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) O157:H7 associated with romaine lettuce consumption, 2011. *PLoS ONE* 8(2):e55300, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055300.
554
555 Smith, S.I., Aboaba, O.O., Odegha, P., Shedipo, K., Adeyeye, J.A., Ibrahim, A., Ade, T., Onibokun, H. and
556 Odunukwe, N.N. (2003). Plasmid profile of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from apparently healthy animals. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 2:322-324.
557
558 Smith, G., Hopkins, K., Perry, N., Cheasty, T. and Mason, B. (2008). Verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 (VTEC O157 outbreak linked to school meals): contribution
559 of molecular typing to epidemiological investigation. 3rd pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Network
560 Conference: epidemiology and transmission of VTEC and other pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, 2
561 5th and 26th September 2008, Swedish Institute for Infectious Disease Control, Stockholm, Sweden.
562
563 Synge, B.A. (2000). Verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli*: A veterinary view. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 88: S31-37.
564
565 Thompson, L.H., Giecke, S., Beaudoin, C., Woodward, D. and Wylie, J.L. (2005). Enhanced surveillance
566 of non-O157 verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in human stool samples from Manitoba. *Can. J. Infect. Dis. Med. Microbiol.* 16: 329-334.
567
568 Vu-Khac, H. and Cornick, C.A. (2008). Prevalence and genetic profiles of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from buffaloes, cattle and goats in central Vietnam.
569 *Vet. Microbiol.* 126: 356-363.
570
571 Wang, R., Kalchayanand, N., Bono, J.L., Schmidt, J.W. and Bosilevac, J.M. (2012). Dual-serotype biofilm formation by Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and O26:H11 strains. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78(17): 6341-6344.
572
573
574
575
576
577

578

579 Table1.Nucleotidesequenceofprimersused

Gene	Primer	Oligonucleotidesequence	Fragment size(bp)
<i>stx1</i>	VT1-A	5'- CGCTGAATGTCATTTCGCTCTGC	302
	VT1-B	5'- CGTGGTATAGCTACTTCACC	
<i>stx2</i>	VT2-A	5'- CTTCCGGATCCTATTCCCGG	516
	VT2-B	5'CTGCTGTGACAGTGACAAAACGC	
<i>eae</i>	EAE-1	5'-GGAACGGCAGAGGTTAATCTGCAG	775
	EAE-2	5'-GGCGCTCATCATAGTCTTTC	

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

Table 2. Isolation of *Escherichia coli* from poultry and animal sources in Ado-Ekiti

Sources	Number examined (%)	Number positive for <i>E. coli</i> (%)
Local chicken	141 (19.5)	62 (19.6)
Broilers	100 (13.9)	13 (4.1)
Layers	52 (7.2)	9 (2.9)
Cockerels	19 (26.3)	3 (0.9)
Goat	49 (6.8)	32 (10.1)
Cattle	361 (50.0)	197 (62.3)
Total	722	316 (43.8)

+ve positive; -ve negative; NSF Non-sorbitol fermenting;

Comment [T22]: Review this line it is not considered in the Table 2

Table 3. Serotyping of *E. coli* isolated from various animal sources in Ado-Ekiti

Source of isolation	Number isolated	Non-sorbitol fermentation on SMAC	Serotyping	
			<i>E. coli</i> O157	Non-O157 <i>E. coli</i>
Local Chicken	62	11(3.5%)	8(2.5)	2(0.6)
Broilers	13	0	0	0
Layers	9	0	0	0
Cockerels	3	0	0	0
Goats	32	0	0	10(3.2)
Cattle	197	31(9.8%)	13(4.1)	3(0.9)
Total	316	42(13.3%)	21(6.6)	15(4.7)

Comment [T23]: Add (%)

638

639 Table 4. Detection of Shiga toxin by cytotoxicity effect on Vero cells and virulence genes by
640 PCR

Source	Serotype examined	No of strains examined	No of strains with >50% cytotoxic effects	Detection of virulence genes by PCR		
				<i>stx1</i>	<i>stx2</i>	<i>eae</i>
Cattle	O157	13	2	+ve	-ve	-ve
Cattle	Non-O157	3	3	+ve	-ve	-ve
Goats	Non-O157	10	0	+ve	-ve	-ve
Local chicken	Non-O157	2	2	+ve	-ve	-ve
Total		28	7			

642

643 +ve Present; -ve Not detected

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653