SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_22390**
Title of the Manuscript:	**BURDEN OF URINARY TRACT INFECTION (UTI) AMONG FEMALE STUDENTS: SOUTH EASTERN NIGERIA SIDE OF THE STORY.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	**Abstract** -Remove in line 19, the following idea: "*Escherichia coli* was therefore the most predominant bacterium in the transmission of urinary tract infection in this study" because we can understand the same idea from s previous one of line 18. **Introduction** - in line 37,add (UTI) after the "Urinary tract infection". - in line 38, replace "Urinary tract infection" by UTIs (and thus in the whole text euther by UTI or UTIs according to the context of ideas). -In line 43, remove the citation [2] and replace it by the name of the author "Kunin", then put the citation [2] in the end of the sentence. - Add a citation for the idea from line 45 to line 48. - In line 70, the idea of biological defence is so interesting , thus authors should add a citation to it. - in line 76, remove "one". - From lines 78 and 79, remove "it presents" - in line 102, change "non" bu "not". - Introduction is so logical and ideas are well linked. **Material and methods** In line 126, remove the last "of". In line 131 remove `` In line 132, add the name of the authors concerning the citation 11. In lines 139 and 143, change "was" by "were". In line 142, change "5mins" to "5 min". **Results**	

	-In line 188, the following idea "The most common bacteria encountered in the study population are *Escherichia* 189 *coli,* (35.9%), *Staphylococcus spp* (29.9%), *Streptococcus spp* (12.8%), *Proteus spp* (11.1%) and 190 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (10.3%) » is a repetition of a previous one of the line 186. Thus authors should remove one of them, either of that of line 188 or line 186. -Authors should avoid repetition. For example, I suggest to replace the following idea in line 198 "The presence of pus cells, yeast, casts and epithelial cells in the urine samples is indication of abnormality" by "The presence of these characteristics is an indication of abnormality". In line 202- 204, authors should avoid repetition in the two following ideas: "Among the age groups, those within 31 years and above had the highest infection followed by 26 - 30 while 10 - 20 had the least infection (Table 3). Among the age groups, bacteruria was highest within the age range of 31 years and above (87.3%) and least within 15-20(48%)". I suggest the following "Among the age groups, bacteruria was highest within the age range of 31 years and above (87.3%), followed by 26 - 30 while the least was within 15-20(48%)". -In line 224 (title of table 1), remove "of " from "Isolated of organisms". - Add (%) in the column of Percentage occurrence. **References** Write the names of journals in abbreviations.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ilham Zahir**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Biology, University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Morocco**