



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_23078
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Risk Factors of Primary Postpartum Hemorrhage after Vaginal Deliveries in the Bonassama District Hospital, Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Results: In line 198, the clinical characteristics, was there any history of fibroid in these patients/participants? In table four; No fibroid? In Figure 3, line 295, do you mean intractable (uncontrollable) bleeding? In a study such as this, state the maternal mortality ratio	Dear reviewer, thanks for your comments. There were two cases of uterine fibroids-see line 204 and table II Modified to intractable bleeding Maternal mortality ratio stated – lines 291-292
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Results: In line 188, was there any maternal death? Discussion: In line 304, “ some hospitals in Cameroon” why? In line 335, correct “myomectomies” to “as myomectomy” In line 345, minimize abbreviation in discussion, also in line 349, “ the first cause.....”, do you mean the highest cause?	-2 deaths recorded Previous studies had shown lower percentages of PPH -“myomectomies” corrected to “as myomectomy” -Abbreviations minimized in discussion -Instead of ‘first’ or ‘highest’ - modified to ‘most frequent’
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors were rigorous in their attempt to make this work publishable, publish after correction as suggested.	Thank you.