



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_22992
Title of the Manuscript:	Unilateral mediothoracic exanthem – Report of the third patient in the literature
Type of the Article	Case studies

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is an interesting case of a poorly described disease entity.	We thank the reviewer for this comment. We have tried our utmost to describe the history, clinical findings, and investigations including lesional histopathology for this patient. We aspire to describe patients with this or other dermatological diseases clearly now and in the future.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	As the authors have commented in the discussion, it lacked the etiological investigation, especially with regard to viral cause.	We entirely agree to this comment. As written on line 75-78, “Restrains in resources did not allow us to proceed to virological investigations such as PCR, virus load, serologies, and lesional specimen for immunohistochemical staining for this patient.” Nevertheless, we reported blood investigations and lesional histopathology (lines 40 – 44). Moreover, the fairly histopathological feature of perisudoral lymphocytic infiltrates was found and reported. We aspire to incorporate more extensive virological infections for patients with other skin diseases in the future.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I believe that work can be accepted for publication due to scarcity of reports in the literature on the subject matter.	We deeply thank the reviewer for this comment.