



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_22677
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Rapid Diagnostic Test for Malaria Diagnosis at the Different Specialized Hospitals in Wad Medani, Gezira State, Sudan
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 80: What conc of Giemsa stain did you used and how long did you satin for? Line 88: What is parallel? Line 97: mRDT is also invalid if there is line only in the Test window and none in the C window. Line 147: P<0.05 is Significant but you stated that it is not significant?	Line 80 : 3% Giemsa stain for 30 minutes Line 88 : parallel (in the same time) Line 97 : Agree with him that the also the RDT is invalid the control line is not appeared Line 147 : Yes it is significant
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	What year was this is study carried out? Line 136: Check your 40 Line 171: it should be Venezuela and not Venezuelan.	The study was carried out in October2006 to March 2007 Line 136 : was checked Line 171: Venezuela
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a good study	