



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_21902
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors associated with intestinal parasite infections in a resettled indigenous community in Malaysia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Tables and References Lines 14-16: The names of the parasites should be italicized for example <i>Trichuris trichiura</i> Lines 24: provide at least five keywords Line 46: Refrain as Materials and Methods Line 75: Please write analysis in small case Line 78: Write ml as mL line 127: N=110 should be written below the table 1, also remove all items from bold in the tables except the title of the tables Line 140: Close table 3 Line 152 table 4: Please the author should remove all horizontal grid lines in the table Line 165: Table 5; remove all bold in the table, close	Agreed and completed Agreed and completed Agreed and completed Agreed and completed Agreed and completed Agreed and completed Agreed and completed Agreed and completed Agreed and completed



SDI Review Form 1.6

	the table below, indicate N below the table Note: Remove all underlines in sub-headings; e.g Conclusion, References. etc Line 222: Please indicate acknowledgement as sub-heading after conclusion, Also indicate ethical issues as mentioned in the text. Line 223: The reference section needs major correction. The title of work done by author are not supposed to be in bold as indicated. The author MUST remove these. All journal names must be italicized. References number 1, 3, 5, 2, 10, 11 and 17 are too old. The author should look for current literatures. Minimum of 20 references is usually ideal for research articles.	Agreed and completed <u>Reference 1</u>: Removed and new reference added <u>Reference 2</u>: It's maintained as it's published in 2009 <u>Reference 3</u>: Maintained as the technique was initiated by the author. However, another reference for the technique used in the study. <u>Reference 5</u> (now in text its reference 6) Maintained as it's the WHO standard <u>Reference 10</u> (now in text its reference 11) Removed and new reference added <u>Reference 11</u>(now in text its reference 12) Needed as the author studied parasitic infection prior 1987
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Only in the abstract Ethical issue: Humans were involved in the research	<u>Reference 17</u> (now it text its reference 18) The reference is needed because it's the original report of Malaysian Ministry of Health. However, 2 more references were added.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		