



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_21628
Title of the Manuscript:	Filaria elimination in India missing its deadline – Evidence from a Cross sectional study of four districts of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is Poorly written with poor english, spelling mistakes and bad grammer. Abstract is not formulated properly with objective telling nothing about the objective of the study. The references used are just copy pasted with no correction as per the manuscript.	1. Manuscript is partial revised to improve the quality of English language used. 2. The spelling mistakes have been corrected as per American spelling standards 3. Abstract is modified 4. References are corrected as per reviewers comment



SDI Review Form 1.6

	The main objective is not fulfilled in the end. The author failed to define the cause for low coverage and low compliance of study group. The statistical analysis for significance of values like in patient who received drugs in presence of health worker and who don't is not defined. Abbreviation used are nit predefined	5. The mistakes in objective have been corrected. The suggestions about statistical analysis and objective about reason for non compliance are part of a different research article based on same study.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		