



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_21474
Title of the Manuscript:	Contraceptive Needs of a Marginalized Group: The Case of Displaced People Living in a Refugee Community. Yaoundé, Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Title: The title should be changed, a suggestion is 'Report of Contraception and Contraceptive needs among refugees in a refugee camp in Yaoundé Cameroon.' - Abstract: not according to author guideline for this journal. - Poor presentation of p-value in the article- not according to author guideline. - Keywords: remove the listed words, replace with Contraceptive Needs; Contraception; Refugees; Displaced people. - Introduction: Line 38: change "living in a refugee situation" to "living as refugees" Line 47: insert full stop after " [12-14]." Line 52: Authors to reframe the sentence, not clear. Line 55-57: reframe, not clear. Line 64: insert space before "In" Line 76: change "1st " to "1st " Line 82: insert space before "Since" Line 99: delete "for" Line 103: delete "formal", insert "written". Line 104-107: not relevant since this was not used for the study- delete. Line 116: what was the rationale for targeting 300 participants? Authors to calculate sample size using appropriate formula and indicate. Line 120: remove semi-colon after "the".	Dear reviewer, please manuscript has been revised with a lot of modifications taking into consideration the comments of other reviewers. Yours have been addressed accordingly - Title changed; KAP study - Abstract modified according to authors guidelines for the journal - Presentation of p-values modified - Key words modified to reflect new title - Introduction: Changes carried out as proposed Line 38: change "living in a refugee situation" to "living as refugees"- changed Line 47: insert full stop after " [12-14]."- Inserted Line 52: Authors to reframe the sentence, not clear. (modified) Line 55-57: reframe, not clear. (Clarified) Line 64: insert (space before "In")- Inserted Line 76: change "1st " to "1st "-changed Line 82: insert space before "Since"- Inserted Line 99: delete "for"-deleted Line 103: delete "formal", insert-



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 120: what do you imply by the use of term "sexuality"? From the understanding of the context in this article," sexual behaviour" is a better term. Line 125-134: remove the limitations, reduce the number of words, reframe and insert as last paragraph under discussion. Line 138-139. Delete "There was no drop out after obtaining the informed consent'. Insert "with dropout rate 0%" in line138 after "study". Line 143: open the bracket just before "145". Line 147: change "sexuality" to "sexual behaviour". Discussion: Authors to rearrange: -summary of most important results from the study. Avoid repetition of results. -Take each major finding in subsequent paragraphs- relate your results to local, national, regional and international reports. Explain possible reasons for differences. -Insert conclusion/ recommendation in a paragraph under discussion. Tables: -not inserted at appropriate points in the text as instructed in the author guideline. -remove bold lines and bold fonts from the tables. -capitalise first word in each column/line rather than capitalisation of the whole word or small case as used. -Change "table 1" in line 146 to "Table I" -ensure alignment of columns and rows Table II	"written"-deleted Line 104-107: not relevant since this was not used for the study- deleted. Line 116: what was the rationale for targeting 300 participants? Authors to calculate sample size using appropriate formula and indicate- Sample size calculated using appropriate formula Line 120: remove semi-colon after "the".-Removed Line 120: what do you imply by the use of term "sexuality"? From the understanding of the context in this article," sexual behaviour" is a better term.(modified) Line 125-134: remove the limitations, reduce the number of words, reframe and insert as last paragraph under discussion.(modified as suggested) Line 138-139. Delete "There was no drop out after obtaining the informed consent'. Insert "with dropout rate 0%" in line138 after "study". Okay thanks Line 143: open the bracket just before "145".(modified) Line 147: change "sexuality" to "sexual behaviour".(changed) Discussion modified accordingly. Conclusion and recommendation modified (Now a paragraph) Tables: -Inserted at appropriate points -bold lines and fonts have been removed -Capitalisation of words modified
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	-change "age of first coitus" to "Age at first coitus" -number of sexual partners: what is 1r? -what do you mean by "procreative activity"? Replace with a more appropriate word. -In Obstetrics gravidity is used only when the woman is currently pregnant- I am sure that was not the case here. Delete and replace with number of previous pregnancies. Table III Change title to "Knowledge, Attitude and Contraceptive Practice among Participants" Use of commas for percentages e.g. 81,1% is wrong. Should be full stop. Table IV Change title to "Contraceptive needs among participants" How did the authors evaluate for "efficacy of modern contraceptives"? REFERENCES: The authors did not pay attention to the author guideline in the referencing section. The following reference were not according to guideline: 1,2,3,6,7,9,10,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,24, 26.	-Change "table 1" in line 146 to "Table I"-changed -ensure alignment of columns and rows Table II-Done -change "age of first coitus" to "Age at first coitus"-changed -number of sexual partners: what is 1r?-modified -what do you mean by "procreative activity"? Replace with a more appropriate word.(Replaced) -In Obstetrics gravidity is used only when the woman is currently pregnant- I am sure that was not the case here. Delete and replace with number of previous pregnancies. Not modified (Gravidity means number of past and current pregnancies-please cross check) Table III Change title to "Knowledge, Attitude and Contraceptive Practice among Participants"-modified Use of commas for percentages e.g. 81,1% is wrong. Should be full stop-modified. Table IV Change title to "Contraceptive needs among participants"-modified How did the authors evaluate for "efficacy of modern contraceptives"? - This was not evaluated objectively. We wanted to have the participants' opinion on how efficient these methods of contraception were. References;
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

		-Revisited and modified
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. The study is an interesting topic and relevant. 2. Authors did not pay due attention to the author guideline while preparing this manuscript. 3. Inconsistencies in the use of terms. 4. Poor tables. 5. Representation of p values not according to guideline 6. Authors did not declare competing interests- to insert a subheading for this. 7. Authors should be concise and limit number of words used to express their report.	Thanks for your pertinent comments. Manuscript has been edited based on your general comments: inconsistencies of terms, tables modified and p values written according to authors' guideline. Manuscript edited to limit number of words