



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJTDH_20568
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Reported Cases of Lassa Fever in Plateau State and The Need for Strategic Action Plan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Reporting Lassa fever in Plateau State is an important point to study since it is endemic in this area and few data is present about it. But it was better to enrich this study by adding some important information, like the symptoms they were admitted to the hospital with, the treatment that was given to them, or age groups.	
Minor REVISION comments	• Figure 1: The resolution of this figure is very poor, and there must be a key to indicate what the different colors stand for. • Table 1: You should mention in the header of the table that these numbers represent the number of cases and that the numbers between brackets are the percentage. Also the percentage of the total is missing [59 (100)]. • Table 3: What dose the percentage in the total column represent? Ant the percentage for year 2014 is missing. • Line 110: You mentioned that there statistics made in table 1. (there were no statistics). • Line 116: The number in the beginning of the sentence is better to be written in letters.	1. Additional information on symptoms presented by patients: We included a new table (Table 1) to show the symptoms patients presented with and medical intervention they received in the hospital. Former table 1 now is now Table 2, former table 2 now table 3 in that order 2. Figure 1: We introduced in the header the divisions and identification based on colour



SDI Review Form 1.6

		shades 3. Table 1 (now Table 2): We included percentages in the table as advised 4. Table 3 (now table 4): We have revised the table as advised 5. Line 110: We modified it to read 'data' not statistics 6. Line 116: correction done General Comment: A section on 'Conclusion' has been included as advised
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		