



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_20450
Title of the Manuscript:	Lesion aspirate isolation, culture, diagnosis and Molecular identification of Cutaneous leishmaniasis in district Kohat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I don't see any indication about the number of studied samples! What is about the relation between the sex, age and lesion? The figures are not too clear. I think one figure of 3 or 4 is sufficient, also for figure 5, one picture is sufficient Why did you put 2 figures (6 and 7), it is the same results! What is the benefit for using RPMI1640 media?	Number of patients has been specified. Altogether 108 patients met the reference standard for CL and the inclusion criterion that their specimens were evaluated by conventional diagnostic methods and PCR A descriptive, cross-sectional, prospective study based on patient's consent and history of both sexes irrespective of age groups, irrespective of location, immune status and financial status were included. Replacement of unnecessary figures has been made
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are some writing mistakes in the text. The introduction is too long There are a lot of repetitive sentences. There are too many references which are old (2000 and down) The conclusion is not too clear Concerning the reference section, there are many faults in writing; some references have no number	Manuscript has been comprehensively reviewed and modified in a more concise form with specified objectives References are updated and properly numbered in style. Abstract, results and conclusion is made clear and evaluated with specified objectives.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As Leishmaniasis is worldwide, I find this paper is good. this manuscript gives an idea about this cutaneous leishmaniasis in Pakistan and how they diagnosis this disease. In addition, the manuscript showed the high sensitivity of molecular methods in the diagnosis of leishmaniasis and in identifying the type of parasite responsible for the infection.	